****WASHINGTON*** LACEY CHESS CLUB Lacey Lanes Monday evenings SEATTLE CHESS CLUB. 766 Thomas St. Friday Eve. MA 23332 DOEING EMPLOYEE'S C.C. Plan #2 Cafeteria Tuesday evenings UNIVERSITY OF WN. C.C. Student Union Bldg. Mon & Fri 2:30 P.M. WEST SEATTLE C.C. High Point Rec. Center 2nd & 4th Fris 7 PM. DELLINGHAM CHESS CLUB Leopold Hotel Friday Evenings CHELAN CHESS CLUB c/o Russell Miller Phone 554 GRAIS HARBOR CHESS CLUB Hoquiam Y.M.C.A. Tuesday evenings KITSAP COUNTY C.C. Dr. Almond's rest Poulsbo, 2nd&4th Fris. TWIN CITIES CHESS CLUB c/c Norman Stough Rt2 Dox 476 Chehalis TACOMA CHESS CLU3 Library, 11th & Tac.Ave. Friday evenings SPOKANE CHESS CLUB Coeur d'Alene Hotel Friday evenings CAMAS CHESS CLUB Library basement Tuesday evenings EIGERWAND COFFEE HOUSE 41st & Univ. Scattle daily noon to 2 AM SPOKANE COMMUNITY COLL. Room 215 on the campus 3 PM to 5:30 PM Tues. ***** IDAHO **** CANTON COUNTY CHESS C. Community Centr, Nampa Thursday evenings. ****MONTANA **** KALISPEL CHESS CLUD Vernen Wyman, Sec. 507 W. Arizona St.

*** OREGON *** ASHLAND CHESS CLUB Bard's Brook Coffee Hse 813 Beach St 7:30 Fris PORTLAND CHESS CLUD 411 Henry Building c/o Paul Becker, Soc. 2044 N. Everson ROSEBURG CHESS CLUB 813 W. Rainbow. PORTLAND STATE COLL CC College Center 2 PM Fridays THE DALLES CHESS CLUB H.R. Davidson, Sec. 1625 East 9th. MEDFORD CHESS CLUB Colony Restaurant Wednesday evenings UNIVERSITY OF OREGON Erb Memorial S. U. Bldg. Thursday evenings KLAMATH FALLS CHESS C. 400 Pine Street BROOKINGS CHESS CLUD 709 Chetico Ave. Monday evenings DAY AREA CHESS CLUD City Hall, Coos Bay Tuesday 7:00 PM GRANTS PASS CHESS CLUB Old Library Building Wednesday 7:30 P.M.

> ?????????? This space is reserved for your club. Use it!!!! ??????????

**** RITISH COLUMDIA**** CITY CHESS CLUB Tuesdays 7:30 2695 West Broadway Sec. C. Aykroyd Bus . Phone 263-7690 NEW WESTMINSTER-CHESS: CLUD Tuesdays 7:30 Kiwanis House, Moody Park New Westminster, Pres. C.J. Littlewood Phone LA.2-4562 VANCOUVER CHESS NUTS (Closed-circle) CONTINENTAL CHESS CLUB 543 Seymour Street Pres. H. Fischbach Phone MU 2-1272 . Tuesdays 7:30 U.B.C. CHESS CLUD Wednesdays 7:30 Brock Hall, U.B.C. Pres. W. von Schleinitz Phone 228-8032 VANCOUVER HUNGARIAN CHESS CLUD Pres. Dr.-E. Macskasy Tel: 263-6961 KERRISDALE CHESS CLUD Derrisdale Community Center 5851 West Doulevard, Van. 14 Pres. J. Notman Tuesdays 7:30 WEST VANCOUVER CHESS CLUD West Vancouver Community Centre Mondays 7:30 Sec. W.W. Douglas Phone WA 2-6864 DURNADY CHESS CLUD (Closed Circle)

ANOTHER N.W. CHESS PUBLICATION! THE IDAHO CHESS DULLETIN 31/yr 2316 Regan Ave. Boise Idaho. W. C. F. ANNUAL MEETING March 27th at 1:00 P.M. Seattle C.C. 766 Thomas St. Seattle, Wn. MA 2-3332

GRAYS HARDOR OPEN March 5-6!!

WASH. H.S. TOURNEY March

INTERSTATE OPEN April 16-17

CENTRAL WASH, OPEN Apr. May

THE NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER Number 220 March 1966 \$3,00 per year pub.monthly NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER Number 220 March 1966 \$3 per yr. order fm tres.

Richard Parsons Editor Publisher Seattle 98102 522 16th Ave. E. EA 28692 R. R. Merk Circulation & Postal LA 22954 1026 N.E. 71st. F.H. Weaver Asst. Circ & Postal 501 Olympic Place AT 27704 Seattle 98119 Treasurer Stephen Christopher Bothell 98011 7016 N.W. 170th HU 67003 F. M. Howard Ratings Director 5940 36th Ave. SW WE 21063 Seattle 98126 Buz Eddy Tournament Director MA, 31219 504 Terry Ave., Apt 209 Seattle 98104 Russell Miller Chess Chats Chelan Wash. PO Box A Phone 554 W.C.F. Officers Clark Harmon President 232-3403 2019 S.E. Tenino Portland 97202 Vice President Edward Bosse 923 S. 8th St. MA 76518 Tacoma 98405 Sec. & Pub. Director Charles Joachim Seattle 98105 4721 34th N.E. LA 42167

Oregon Chess Committee

Gregory Kern Chairman 12903 NE Multnomah Portland 97230 Deane Moore Tournament Director 5420 N. Montana Portland 97230 Publicity Director Tracy Dearden 450 Lit Way Ashland Ore. Don Turner Secretary Dalles Ore. Fred Byron Treasurer 12439 10th Ave. Milwaukie Ore.

USCF State Directors

1 Buz Eddy

2 Russell Miller

3 William Blackmore

2814 Grove St., Bellingham, Wash.

4 Tracy Bearden

Idaho Chess Association

President Eugene L. Cowan Driggs. Idaho 83422 Editor & Tres. Dick Vandenburg 2316 Regan Ave. Boise, Idaho 83702 Games Editor George Raser 515 Archer Boise, Idaho 83705 Norman K. Lee Publisher 1020 Glen Haven Dr. Boise, Idaho 83705 Committeemen:

Jerry E. Stanke 612 17th Ave. So. Nampa Id. Ted Hartwell 599 Crestview Dr. Rt 3 Twin Fl

Another N.W. Chess Publication
THE IDAHO CHESS BULLETIN \$1.00
order from Dick Vandenburg Boise, Idaho.

B. C. Chess Federation

President H. H. Fischbach V. Presidents A. Schultz (Nanaimo) A. Hall (Victoria)

J. Heim (Prince George)
E. Reid (White Rock)

G. Cairns (Nelson)

Sec. Tres. G. H. Bryant
Asst Sec/Tres W.T. Money
Tourn Dir. C. D. Aykroyd

Asst Tny Dir O, Arnold Junior Chess C. J. Littlewood

H. S. Chess I. J. Mills Auditor W.R.C. Patrick

Trustees of Dave Creemer Memorial Fund

R. A. Pilkington & J. A. McCharles

Governors of CFC for B.C.

J.G. Prentice C.D. Aykroyd R.C. Eldridge A.J. van Lieshout Dr. E. Macskasy

Russell's Chess Chats cont.(p 16.)

USCF Expert Glen Proeshal won the Univ. of Iowa Championship with a 5-0 score.

The Husky Rooks of Bloomsburg St. College won the Championship of Penn. College.

Jack Gibson is the Phoenix club champ. In the January issue of Chess Life the annual rating list appears, The N.W. has one senior Master DUNCAN SUTTLES 2413, 3 Masters IVARS DALBERGS 2280, ELOD MACSKASY 2240, and VICTORS PUPOLS 2233, some others are JOTT BRALEY 2017, WILLY BRANDAL 1814 (believe he dosen't play well in USCF tourneys) FRED BYRON 1975, ALAN CLARK 1970, V. DRAGOMANOVIC 2090, CLARK HARMON 2071, PAT HICKEY 1917, CLAY KELLÉHER 1988. JIM MCCONMICK 2183, MEIENDING, LOWEN 2015, RUSSELL MILLER 1673 (I know, he plays lousy in USCF tournaments and others too) E. MOKS 1816. PETER O'GORMAN 2017, LEON SAGE 1982, ANDY SCHOENE 2126, JOSEPH TOTH 1932, DICK VANDENBURG 2117, DAN WADE 2017, DENNIS WATERMEN

Bobby Fischer will play in the 2nd Piatigorsky Cup Tournament July 17th to Aug 11 in L.A. Others are Donner, Tvkov, Larsen, Najdorf. Two Russian players are expected, probably Spassky and Petrosian.

The Chess Olympics will be held in Havana. Chess Review says "(This) was pushed through by the Communist Bloc in order to embarass the US which has no diplomatic relations with Cuba and so cannot endorse visas for the US Team.

This space is reserved to apologize to Eddie Sonier for putting him last in the prognosis-

TOURNAMENT NORTHWEST BY BUZ EDDY

🐺 received a letter last week from a former USCF president, JERRY SPANN, promoting "OPERATION HI-GEAR". The intent of the program is to provide national chess a full time professional executive, This is a necessary step to enable the growth of national chess, as the work load has passed the capability of elective officers handling. We are very much in agreement with the proposed program, but we are unable to commit avail organizational money from the northwest, until we finish with the US OPEN tournament. Therefore we turn to you chess-players to support this very While project to provide organized chess with full time leadership and permit growth of the game to a far greater degree. ideal man for the position could be available for the position, if the operation is sucessful in raising the necessary money.as Col. Edmondson has the option of appling for retirment on a 23 year plan later this year, Spann closes his letter with "...we have a golden opportunity to land a flight, professional chief executive, good for maybe 20 years service, at bargain basement rates, because of his retirement income, and because he will be doing what he loves to do and does so well!"

Your writer is in complete agreement with the aims of the project, and urges you as members of organized chess to support this most worthwhile program. Send contributions to "OPERATION HIAGEAR" c/o Jerry Spann, 3011 Paseo; Oklahoma City, Okla.

* * * *

For the past eight years your writer has campaigned against adjudication in the chess events of the northwest, but several occurences of the past month have made us re-evaluate our stand to the point of holding adjudication as a directors option.

At the recent Portland State tourney a player walked away from a position, where his opponent had a forced mate on the board. Your TD declared the game lost.

In the UW - Golden Horde PSL match VIK PUFCLS throughly annoyed, by a barrage of

barbed comments from JIM McCORMICK, insisted that McCormick play out a clearly drawn gone (K. and RP vs K.) Your TD vacillated for a long time, because Pupols' claim was legally correct, but in fact ridiculous. We finally ruled the game drawn, although out legal besis for doing so was clearly shaky, if at all existant. In an attempt to prevent a second episode at the state championship a week later, we announced prior to the Tupols-McCormick contest that "players would conduct themselves as sportsmen in the tournament room." and that violation would result in ex-McCormick cooperated to a degree. Pupols, however, insisted on exercising his legal right to adjourn the one move from mate.

The above actions, we feel, are not beneficial to organized chess. Therfore we intend to insist on sportsmanlike conduct at all future chess events, and further shall retain the option of adjudication to prevent the ridiculous.

* * * }

The USCF, in reporting the results of the 1965 Labor Day week-end tournaments, added the suggestion of a Labor Day tournament in every state, to permit the greatest number of players a chance to compete at that time. The northwest, acting as a single enity, has maintained a program of non-conflicting tournament dates. However, considering the USCF suggestion, and the dwindling interstate travel (5 Oregon players in the 62 man MACHINGTON OPEN), we feel the time is right to organize a Labor Day tourney for Washington, probably starting in 1967.

TOURNAMENT SCHEDULE

Mar. 19 Wash. H.S. (Everett) Apr. 2 – 3 Interstate Open (Portland) Apr. 16 - 17Inland Empire Open (Spokane) Apr. 30 May 1 Cent.Wash. Open * (Chelan) May 14 - 15B, C. Open (Vancouver) 28 - 30May June 11 - 12 Portland Open (Portland) June 25 - 26Evergreen Empire (Tacoma) 9 - 10 July 23 - 24July Aug. 14 - 26 U. S. OPEN (Seattle)

* - If conducted this event may be in Wenatchee instead of Chelan. UW president DICK HYATT has written Miller, asking for the release of these dates for a UW Open tourney.

Oregon Open

Sept. 3 - 5

(Portland)

McCormick State Champion

JAMES McCORMICK, of Seattle successfully defended his title of "ashington State Champion by posting a perfect 6 - 0 record over the field of six challengers, VIKTORS PUPOLS finished second (this is a recorded announcement) for the ninth time. Pupols has a single state championship victory, elthough he won the tournament in 1964, he did not gain the title, as defending champion G. RONNING won the playoff match.

This is McCormick's third state championship. Then asked by JCHN WALKER at the conclusion of the tournament how it felt to be state champion, McCormick modestly replied "Just like it always has!"

The tournament was played on two successive week-ends at the Seattle Chess Club. Rounds one and two were played Saturday Web. 12, round three Friday Web. 18, and rounds four through seven Sat. and Sun. Web 19 and 20.

The first round saw Pupols win from E. Sonier in convincing fashion, and saw Pullen develope a clear end game adventage and press it for a victory over Dunn. The Lundin - Rasmussen game lasted some six hours, although it was originally intended to adjourn after four hours both players request-

ed to continue to play to conclusion. Lundin finally won the game after trading down to a three page vs. knight ending.

In round two saw Pullen win from Sonier decisivly, and Pupols grind a victory from R. Dunn. In his baste to pick up the "easy point" from Lundin, EcCormick embarked on a premature king-side pawn swarm which Lundin withered successfully and developed the clearly superior game. Lundin passed over a few easy draws in an effort to win the game, but left himself open to a long series of checks that eventually enabled EcCormick to recover and go on to win.

The third round Pupols-Rasmussen game as played ednesday night in Tacoma, enabling Fasmussen to continue Friday night in a Tacoma Chess Club tournament. Pupols took advantage of Rasmussen's inaccurate opening play, and won the game quickly. Sonier posted a victory over Lundin. Pullen developed a space and pawn-structure advantage in his game with *Cormick, but was unable to find a method of exploitation. The then broke the pawn-structure unfavorably giving McCormick the counter play necessary to go on to win.

Saturday morning saw Pullen win his 4th round encounter with Fasmussen. Sonier's

Summary of the 1966 WASHINGTON STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

^D layer .	-1-	-2-	-3-	/	-5	-6-	-7-	Score	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. James McCormick	Bye	W6	::3	1)	W4	.15	177	6 0	X	1	1	1	1	1	1
2. Viktors Pupols	15	:14	:7	I !	::6	Вуе	:3	5 - 1	0	X	1	1	1	1	1
3. Kent Pullen	N4	5	Ll	7	byc	-16	L2	4 – 2	0	0	X	1	1	1	1
4, Rodney Dunn	L3	L2	Bye	:5	Ll	7	3.6	3 - 3	0	0	0	X	1	1.	1
5. Eddie Sonier	L2	L3	.:16	-4	W7	Ll	Bye	2 – 4	0	0	0	0	X	1	1
6. Robert Lundin	W7	Ll	L5	Вуе	Ľ2	L3	14	1 - 5	0	0	0	0	0	X	1
7. Ernst Rasmussen	L6	Вує	L2	L3	L5	L4 .	11	0 - 6	0	0	0	О	0	0	X

NOTE: Eight qualifier was ANDY SCHOTTE, who declined the invitation.



RIGHT: VIKTORS
PUPOLS congratulates champion McCORMICK
on his victory.
LEFT: KENT PULLEN watches as
McCormick goes
for his sixth
win of the event, against
ERNST RASMUSSEN.
(Photos by Peter J. Olson)



game with Dunn was adjourned after four hrs. with a clear advantage for Sonier, but after the game was resumed Dunn recovered and went on to win. In the annual McCormick - Pupols contest, Pupols, playing white, developed an early time advantage. Attempting to expand this advantage he overlooked an option McCormick had only because he was behind in development. Specifically Pupols prematurly played P-QN4, which would have given him a powerful advantage had black already played either QN-Q2 or P-QB3, but in the game black responded with N-QB3 with devastating result.

In round five McCormick ground cut a long win from Dunn. Rasmussen had Sonier "busted", but blundered badly late in the game, dropping a rook, and the game. Lundin spent considerable time before the event in

preparation to meet Pupols famed Latvian Gambit. The game proceded along a fairly well analysed line involving a double rook sacrifice by white. But Pupols experience with the opening, and more extensive analysis eventually told the story; for what Pupols already knew, Lundin had to find over the board. Even with this Pupols again played P-QN4, and again was in a loss, but Lundin did not find the win over the board. (Pat Hickey and John Walker each found the winning continuation, but only after several hours work, and long after the round was or ver.

Round six saw McCormick win from Sonier, Dunn over Rasmussen, and saw Lundin resign his adjournment to Pullen. Round seven saw McCormick win from Rasmussen, Dunn win from Lundin, and Pupols descat Pullen.



SONIER watches the last round contest for second place between Kent Pullen and Vik Pupols.

RIGHT: ROBERT

RIGHT: ROBERT LUNDIN faces off against R. DUNN in their final round encounter.



JODE WASHO

Round 6

T e.in	won	lost	games	games
	L		won	lost
1. Univ of Wash.	6	0	$40\frac{1}{2}$	12½
2. Golden Horde	5	1	36	21
3. Boeing C. C.	42	$1\frac{1}{2}$	33	27
Tacoma C.C.	3	3	22	35
5 Bellingham C.C.	2 <u>분</u>	31/2	29분	27 ½
6. Seattle C. C.	15	45	26	34
7. W. Seattle C.C	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$23\frac{1}{2}$	36 1
8, Kitsap C.C.	O	6	$15\frac{1}{2}$	40½

Univ.of Wash. - 7 Boeing C.C. - - 3. match was reported briefly in last month's issue. The powerful University team, with the added strength of VIKTORS PUPOLS rolled over the host Boeing team eliminating them From first place contention. This victory assured the University team of at least a first place tie at the conclusion of the regular season. The only bright spot in the rather bleak day for the Boeing club, was on board two where ALAN CLARK scored 12-2 over the "rising star", KENT PULLEN. Pupols and ANDY SCHOENE split on the top board. In the game Schoene won, Pupols played the same oponing that he had played against Reshovsky an the exhibition three days before. He Frew the game with Reshevsky, but transposed moves and lost the game to Schoene.

UNIV. of WASH.

<u>7 - 3</u>

BOEING C.C.

1	Viktors Pupols - 1 - 1 - Andy Schoene
2.	Kent Pullen — $\frac{1}{2}$ $-1\frac{1}{2}$ — Alan Clark
3	Peter O'Gorman- $1\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ — Alf (reras
4:	Dave Grannis — 2 - 0 — Halstead Harrison
5.	Jim Campbell — 2 - 0 — (for fiet)

Bellingham - 5출 Kitsap - 1호, Because of the travel time involved, and because of the length of the first round games, only seven of the ten games were ployed in this Eatch. In the three single games on the top boards the teams broke even, scoring 1/2 each. However, on boards four and five Bellingham swept all four points to win the match. Bellingham team aprears to be the best balenced team in this year's league, with eight players that could almost play in any ormer.

BELLINGHAM C.C.	$5\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$	KITSAP	C ~	С.

- 1. Danny Chin $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ Eugene Sobczyk 2. Harold Raymond - 1 - 0 - Jerry Miller 3. Peter Hashisaki- 0 - 1 --- John Almond
- 4. Bruce McLeod 2 0 Art Wick
- 5. Wayne Chin 1 0 Ed Arnold 5. Wayne Chin 1 0 Don Olson

Seattle Chess Club - 5 W. Seattle - 5. In the contest for sixth spot the host? Seat= tle club held the invading west-siders to a draw. The West Seattle club lead after the first round by a 3 - 2 score, and was able to support this lend with second rd. victories on the top two boards. lower board strength held up for the home team, chalking up three second round victories, and drawing the match. On one PAT HICKEY continued his climb toward expert by minning both games from ABRAHAMSON. The other perfect score posted on board three, where FRANK ALEXAN-DRO won both from BOB SCHPANK. On second board MAX MAGE won the battle of the team captains, scoring 13-3 over STEPHEN CHRIST TOPHER.

SEATTLE C. C. 5 - 5 W. SEATTLE C.C.

- 1. Norm Abrahamson 0 2 ---- Pat Wickey
- 2. Steve Christopher $\frac{1}{2}$ $-1\frac{1}{2}$ —— Max Mage 3. Frank Alexandro 2 0 —— Bob Schrank
- 4. Svend Monrad $-\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Gerald Stiles
- 5. Chris Corwin --- 0 1 Peter J. Clson
- 5. Chris Corwin - 1 0 Bill Gillette

Golden Horde - 8 Tacoma C. C. - O. Horde, intent on having a crack at the U.W. team, came prepared to do battle. Minning all eight of the played games, with drawn out grinds on boards one and five On first board Rasmussen appeared to have draw, but Braley marched his king back to support a pawn push, then back up to win.

<u>0 - 8</u> TACOMA C C. 1. John Braley — 1 - 0 -Ernst Rasmussen

- 2. Richard Parsons 2 0 --- Dave Fulton
- 3. George Fajanoff-2 0 Carl Carlson 4. Robert Holzinger 2 0 John Period 5. Robert Lundin 1 0 Dave Haugen

PUGET SOUND LEAGUE

ROUND 7

FINAL LEAGUE STANDINGS

Team	Won	Lost	Games won	Games lost
1. Univ.of Wash.	7	0	521	$16\frac{1}{2}$
2. Golden Horde	5	2	40	27
3. Boeing C.C.	5	2	38	32
4. Tacoma C.C.	3½	$3\frac{1}{2}$	27	40
5. Seattle C.C.	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	36	34
6. Bellingham C.C.	$2\frac{1}{2}$	4 1 /2	33 1	$33\frac{1}{2}$
7. W. Seattle C.C.	2 1 /2	$4\frac{1}{2}$	28 1	$40\frac{1}{2}$
8. Kitsap C.C.	0	7	$15\frac{1}{2}$	50½

Univ.of Wash. - 6 Golden Horde - 4. The Horde needed a vin in this match to force a play-off, but the overwhelming strength of the University team proved to much for the defending champions to handle. The made a surprisingly strong showing on the top two boards, scoring $3\frac{1}{2}$ of ε possible 4 points, but the over-all superiority of the U. . team was clearly demonstrated on the lower three boards, where the new champions scored $5\frac{1}{2}$ of a possible 6. Three perfect 2 -O results mere posted in the match. PARSONS over PULLEN, O'GORMAN over Kajanoff, and R. JFROME over SONIER. State Champion JAMES McCORMICK scored 12-2 over VIK PUPOLS. (For a detailed account of this contest, see TNW)

UNIV.of WASH.

6 - 4

GOLDEN HORDE

- 1. Viltors Tupols $\frac{1}{2}$ $1\frac{1}{2}$ James VcCormick 2. Fent ullen — 0 - 2 — Richard Parsons 3. Peter O'Gorman-2 - 0 --- George Kajanoff 4. Dave Grannis — $1\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ — Robert Holzinger 5. Richard Jerome - 2 - 0 --- Eddie Sonier
- West Seattle 6 Bellingham 4. The visiting Bellingham team appeared one men short for their final match with West Seattle. In actual games played the teams broke even 4-4, but with the to for est points on board five vest Seattle won the match 6 - 4. This win for the W. Seattle team left three teams tied for fifth soot; Bellingham, West Seattle and the Seattle Chess Club. In this motch, no perfect results were registered, but DAN CHIN posted 12-2 over PAT HICKTY, and GTRALD STILFS scored 12-1 over BRUCE McLEOD.

6-4 BELLINGHAM C.C. WEST SEATTLE C.C.

- 1. Pat Hickey $\frac{1}{2}$ $-l\frac{1}{2}$ Dan Chin 2. Max Wage $\frac{1}{2}$ -l Harold Raymond
 - 3. Gerald Stiles $1\frac{1}{2}$ Bruce McLeod 4. Peter J. Olson 0 1 Wayne Chin 4. Charles Johannes 1 0 Wayne Chin
- 5. (WF) 2 -.0 (LF)

Boeing C.C. - 5 Tacoma - 5. The Boeing C. C. team traveled to Tacoma for their final metch, and in yielding the draw finished tied with the Golden Forde for second spot. Tacoma team captain DAVE FULTON sent. along the following remarks with the match report: " Whew! In the last round Clark resigned prematurely. He though there was a mate or a loss of material, but he could have avoided it. The last game between Haugen and H. Harrison was a bishop of opposit colors draw, but Haugen hung on, and took tage of a few mistakes, and pulled the game out."

BOEING C. C. 5 - 5 TACOMA C. C.

- 1. Alan Clark ——— 1 1—Ernst Rasmussen
- 2. Seid Ibrahim 0 2 Dave Fulton
 3. A. Pitt 1 1 Carl Carlson
 4. Rupert Dorn 2 0 John Pericic
- 5. Halstead Harrison 1 0 Chuck Thompson
- 5. Halstead Harrison 0 1 --- Dave Faugen

Seattle C. C. - 10 Kitsap - 0. The kitsap club was unable to field a team for their final match.

RECAP

We wish to thank each of the team captains for their cooperation in the prompt reporting of match results; particularly Tacoma and Fitsap, whose reports were in the league directors hands within two days following each match. The "locked assignment" rule seemed to both help and hurt league play, and shall probably be modified next season to permit reassignment by approval of the director. Hext month we will publish the league round-up, with the individual results team by team, together with the 1965-66 Duget Sound League STAR TEAM!

KENT PULLEN SIMULTANEOUS

Kent Pullen made his debut as a simultaneous exhibitioner on Friday February 4 at the U. of W. Student Union Building. He played 28 games in 4½ hours, beginning at 2:45 P.M. and ending at 7:00 P.M. This is an average of slightly over nine minutes per game. He won 18. lost 7, and drew 3. The winners were: Dave Williams, Jerry Shaff, Jim Campbell, Fred Brock, M. Rachovitsky (completing a game begun by Albert Quaife), Don Corey, and Larry Brandt. The drawers were: Doug DeStasio, Larry Smith, and Terry Nelson.

NORTHWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE LEAGUE

Two schools have not dropped out of the NW Intercollegiate Chess League. They are Seattle Pazific College and Concordia College. The results of the OSU vs Concordia match are lost somewhere but I heard in a roundabout way that the result was either 6-2 or 7-1 in favor of OSU. Accordingly, here are the results of all completed matches and the current League Standings:

Round 1 George Fox College Portland State Univ. of Wash.	8 5 8	Concordia O (forfeit) Western Wash St. 3 Seattle Pacific O
Round la Oregon State	7호	George Fox 1/2
Round 2 Univ. of Wash. Portland St.	6 8	George Fox 1 Concordia 0
Western Wash. St.	$5\frac{1}{2}$	Seattle Pacific $2\frac{1}{2}$
Round 2a Oregon State Round 3	(6)	Concordia (2)
Portland State	8	George Fox O
		Western Wash. St. 2
Seattle Pacific at	Con	cordia not played.
Round 3a		_
Oregon State	5	Portland State Coll
Round 4		

NAME OF SCHOOL	MATCHES WON LOST	GA ES WON LOST
1 Oregon State 2 Portland State 3 Univ. of Wash. 4 Western Wash St. 5 George Fox	2 0 3 1 2 1 0 2 0 3	$12\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3\frac{1}{2}$ $21 \cdot 11$ $15 \cdot \cdot 8$ $5 \cdot \cdot 11$ $1\frac{1}{2} \cdot 21\frac{1}{2}$

5

Univ. of Wash. 3 !!

Round 5 April 9 U of W at OSU, WWSC at G.F. Round 5a April 23 O'S.U. at W.W.S.C.

On Feb 19, 1966 the U of W team went down to Portland State to what they probably expected to be an easy victory, so their strong players decided it could be handled without them, and as a result Portland State defeated the U of W team by the score of 5-3. Their second team even held the U of W second team to a draw by 4-4. Now the tourney is really up for grabs and Portland State feels that if the U of W team defeats OSU then maybe they will win on tie breakers?

		Portland St,		U of W
1	Ruben	Saez	0 분	Dave Grannis
		Jenquin	1 Ĩ	Willy Brandal
3	James	Mitchell	1 블	Dave Williams
4	Barret	t McMaugh	l Õ	Larry Smith

As can be readily seen, the Fortland team jumped off to an early lead of 3-1 and then held on in the second half 2-2 to win. Dick Hayek says that in spite of the trouble encountered in getting together all the strong players, they had enough players to form a second unofficial team.

υū

The real test of strength will now be on April 9th when the U of W meets OSH and both teams need a win in this one. A loss by the U of W will completely eliminate them from contention whereas a win by the U of W will put them in contention with both OSU and Portland State! Dick Hayek NWICL Pressy.

1966 ACU Region XIV Chess Tourney

I	Player						
	Wolfe, Jerry	OSU.	VI13	V8	V73	W4	(
	Bricher, Gary	UO	D5	Wl2	W6	W7	$5\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
3	Baker, Jim	UO	W6	Wlo	Ll	W9	$\tilde{3}$ $\tilde{1}$
4	Blackmore, Wm.	WWS	W7	Wll	W9	Ll	5~1
5	Miller, Myron	WWS	D2	L9	Wl2	WlO	$2\frac{1}{2}$ $-1\frac{1}{2}$
6	Williams, Dave	UW	L3	W13	L2	Wll	2-2
7	Monticone, George	eWSU-l	I_4	Bye	W8	L2	2-2
	Shinn, Ken	EMC	Bye	Ll	L7	W131	2-2
	Schemm, Mike	OSU	D10	W5	L4	L3	1号~公告
	Corey, Don	$\mathbf{U}\!W$	D9	L3	Wll	L5	13-23
	Fears, Tom	WSU-2	W12	L4	LlO	L6	ĩ3~
12	Knobel, David	WSU-1		L2		Bye	33
T3	Hoyt, Tim	COC &	Ll	L6	Вуе	L8F	1-3
		WSU-2					

TEAM STANDINGS
University of Oregon 6 University of Wash Gregon State Univ. 5 Washington State Washington State Washington State Washington State Washington State State

Portland State

PORTIAND STATE OPEN

Mike Schemm, of Corvallis, won the 1966 Portland State Open, with a 4½ - ½ record. He won his four games from ALAN CLEMPET, STEWER LOYLAND, both of Tortland, RUSSFIL MILLIER, of Chelan, and RALPH STIEN, of Portland. Schemm yeilded his single draw to JTRRY TOLFE, of Troutdale. Wolfe plays first board for the O.S.U. team, where tourney minner Schemm has fourth board on the same team.

JACK SCHRADER, of Salem, continued to "play for fun" and scored 4 - 1 to take 2nd spot on til-breaking. HARRY DEFAREST, of Summit N.J., and RICK GAMONG, of Fortland, also posted 4 - 1 records to tie for second money. Both Pemprest and Ganong attend Reed college

in Fortland. Ganong has a few impressive tournament results from the east coast, but in this event he was forced to forfeit his first round game, and so his ability was not put to a serious test.

RALPH STERN, of Fortland won a Year's membership in the UCCC, inishing the event with a 3-12 record. BAPFITT McMAUGH took the upset prize of a year's CC membership with his fourth round win from LIEF KARELL.

Twenty five players turned cut for the five round event, held at the student union building on the Portland State campus. Time control was 45 in two. T. D. was Buz Eddy.

Summary of the 1966 PORTLAND STATE OFEN

olayer	Home	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	Score	Med.	Solk.
1. Mike Schemm	Corvallis	√20	W15	D6	W 8	1/15	$4\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$		
2. Jack Schrader 3. Harry Demarest 4. Rick Ganong	Salem Summit, N.J. Portland	W25 W22 LF19	D7 W14 W25	.12 W8 115	V6 D5 116	D3 · D2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 - 1 4 - 1 4 - 1	9½ 9 5½~	,
5. Ralph Stien 6. Jerry Jolfe 7. Larry Bergquist	Portland Troutdale Salem	⊎17 ∀11 ∀24	W16 /19 D2	77 D1 L5	D3 L2 V23	Li 117	$\begin{array}{c} 3\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2} \\ 3\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	9 <u>1</u> . 7	
8. Russell Miller 9. Larry Stone 10. EricoStansonugh	Chelan Portland Eugenend	21 L16 L15	13 W24 W22	1.3 W19 W14	L1 10 L9~	18 L4 W19	3 - 2 3 - 2 3 - 2	6 6 8 2 8 2	.,
11. Barrett McMaugh 12. Leif Karell 13. Don Luters 14. Jack Tattie	Portland Fortland Gig Harbor Prays River	L6 D13 D12 W18	D1: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	W27 I.2 I.18 I.10	12 111 (V20 W2)	17° 16 114 -	2 2 - 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8 7 7 7	$ \begin{array}{c c} 12\frac{1}{2} \\ 12 \\ 11\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $
15. Steve Loyland 16. Farl Fnapp 17. Joe Brandenburg 18. Mike Lilly 19. Lewis Richardson 20. Alan Clement 21. Ed Endresen	Fortland Gig Harbor Portland Portland Portland Portland Portland Portland	L5 L14. WF4 L1	11 L5 W11 L6 Bye L17	14 19 116 113 19 111 Bye	L17 L4 15 21 22 L13 L18	24. L12. L6. L8. L10. 22.	2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3	77121212257 65257	13 12½
22. Tric Molson 23. Everett Dale	Des Moines Fortland	L3 F	L10 L12".	₩ 24	L19 L7	L21		6½ 4½	
24. Elberta Denison 25. N. Halverson	Portland Portland	17 L2	Lc L4	L23 LF22	L14 F	L15 F	05	71/2	

"Suttles in Action"

9.Q-Q2

10.N-Q1

11.P-KB4

13.N/1-BR

14.QR-Q1

15.P-B5?!

Section.)

sive chances.

15. ...

16,B--R6

17.BxB

18.PxP

19.P-R3

20.K-R1

to fall.

21.B-B3

23 • P-•B5

24.R-N3

26.N-N4

28.N-R6

30.N-N4

29.QxN

25.R/1-N1

27 N/3-B2

22.R-KN1

12 P-B3

R. D. Keene - D. Suttles 7.P-K4 World Junior Championship 8.B-K3 Great Britain vs Canada 1.P-QB4 P-KN3 2.N-QB3 B-N2 3.P-KN3 Aiming for a sicilian can react strongly. like position. 3. P-Q3 4 B-N2 N-QB3 5.P-K3 N-R3 6,N/1-K2 0-07.0~0 P⊶K4 Black is playing a closed Sicilian with one tempo less. 8.P-Q3 B**--**K3 9.R-N1 Q-Q210.P-QN4 N-Q1 11.Q-R4 P-QB3 12.P-N5 B--R6 13.PxP PxP14 B-Q2 White rejected B-R3 because of the po tential weakness of his K3 square. 14. BxB15 KxB N-K3 16 R-N2 N-N4 17,N-KN1 QR-NI 18.R/1-N1 RxR19 "R**x**R N**-**K3 20 N/1-K2 N-N4 Black acquiesces to the draw in a rather superior position, but probably should continue 20.. P-KB4 21.P-KB4 P-KN4 with good attacking chances. 21.N-KN1 Drawn Notes by Suttles to this & other games. Suttles-Pedersen World Junior Champ. Canada vs Denmark 1.P--KN3 P-KN3 2.B-N2 B-N2 3.P-Q3 P-Q3 4 N-QB3 P-QB4 5,N-R3 N-QB3 6.0-0 P-K3 As in the previous game with golors reversed.

KN-K2 R-QN1 N--Q5 P-K4 Threatening a "cheapo" 11...BxN, but now White 0--0 N/5-B3 P-QN4 Q-R4 Questionable, P-R3 is less committing. (this was the last round game and Suttles needed a win here to take the B PxPP--KB5? Probably better was P-B3 with some defen-KxBP-B3 If 18...QxP 19.R-R1 Q-N6 20.R-R3 Q-K3 21.P-B5 Q-R3 22.QxQch KxQ 23.N-N4ch winning. Q-Ql K-Rl Black's next two moves give him a completely passive position and he has only to wait for the sword of Damocles N-N1? Q-K2? B-Q2 B.-Kl R-N2 P-QR4 Q-KB2 $N_{\mathbf{x}}N$ P-N5 N-KO If 30...N-Q1 or Q-N2 then 31. NxBP! etc. 6Here the score stops, but 31.Q-R4 B anv 32. N-R6 Q-K1 33.B-R5 is an easy win for White.) Gijon Spain Round 2 Suttles - Rico -Canada vs Spain This Spanish-player

once won a war time tournament ahead of the great Alekhine. "Sic transit gloria mundi!", P-QB3 1.P--K/ 2.P-Q4 P-Q4 33 _aB-K2 3.P-K5 B-B4 The lazy bishop fin-4 . P-KN4 ally decides to do • • D "Specialite de la maison!" something to earn B-K5?! his stripend. The recommended contin -33. ... B-Q1 uation is 4...B-Q2 alth-34.P-R4 ough Horowitz considers | Knitting up the lo-4...B-N3 playable. ose ends. 5 .P-KB3 B--N3 K-K2? 34. P.KR4 35 ,R.⊸B8 6 , P.-KR4 N--Q2 7 N. QB3 P 145 36 R-R8 The idea of this move is If 36..N-N3 37.R-R7 to bring the QN to the (not 37.RxRP N-B5ch) King side and temporar-37.R-R7 R-R2 ily restrain P-QB4. K-K138.BxPP--K3 7. . . . 39.P-N6! Resigns 8.P-.N5 B...KB4? Gijon Round 3 P-KN3 9 ,QN...K2 ; Dunao - Suttles 10.N-N3 P⊷B4 The champion of Por... 11.P.B3 N⊷QB3 tugal who has travelled 12.B-K3 Q.-N3 as far as Indonesia 13 .R-R2! PxPduring his varied ches s 14 BxP NxB? career, fell victim Much better was 14...Bduring time trouble to B4 ! an endgame finesse. 15°PxN B-N5ch 1.P-K4 P.KN3 An empty gesture. 2 P-Q4 Bran N2 16.K-B2 R-B1 P-QB4 3.P-KB4 17.NxB NP_XN ? 4 . P-Q5 P.-Q3 Weakening the KRP but 5 N-KB3 N-KB3 after 17..KPxN Black 6.N~B3 would have to reckon on If 6.B-N5ch, Black inan eventual P-K6 after tended K-Bl 7.P-K5 Q-N-K2-B4. R4ch 8.N.B3 N.K5 with 18.P-R3 B-K2. wild complications. 19 K-N3 K-Bl 0-0 6. ... 20.R-Q2 ... 7,B-K2 N. R3 Aiming to exchange B's N-B2 8.0-0 only active piece the QR 9.P.QR4 P.-.N3 20, ... B-Q1 10 P-R3 B-N2 21.R-B1 RxR Black is aiming to 22 .QxR N-K2 prevent P.K5. 23 .P~B4 N-B3 11.B--Q3 P-QR3 24.Q-R5ch . . . 12.Q-K2 ପୃ--ପୃଥ Trying to create threats 13.P-B5!? on both wings after an Probably unsound, now exchange. Black could play 13 24. ... K-N2 PxP 14.PxP NxQP leaving 25 . P-N4 R-KI the ones on White to 26 .N--K2 P--R4 prove value for the 27.P-N5 N-N1pawn, although with 28.QxQ BxQ14.P-K5 White could 29 a R-B2 K--Bl promote wild complication 30.K-B3 K~K2. ations. 31 .K~K3 K-Q2 PaQN4? 13. ... 32.N-N3 R-R1

14 ProND	$P_{\mathbf{x}}$ NP	l C- e 1	N DO
14.PxQNP		Safer was l	
15.RxR	RxR		$Q_{\mathbf{X}}P$
16.NxP	PxP	13.P-Q4	0-0
17.NxN	QxN	14.PxP	QR-Q1
		l	4510-46T
18.P-B4!	P-K3!.	15.Q-B2	• • •
19.N-R4!	K-Rl	Suddenly the	ne knight
20.B-N5	R-KNl	is embarres	sed for a
21.PxKP	NxP	move.	
			(A) I/I)
22.Px.D	$Q_{\mathbf{X}}P$	15	Q xKP
$23.B_{\mathbf{x}}N$?	• • • •	16.B-B4	Q-K3?
With 23.RxF	there are	Q-K2 should	d have been
many pitfal		nlawed alth	nough White
marry brorar	TS TOL DOOL	prayou aru	Tongti wittee
sides but W	nite wanted		ie with QR-
to reach a	slightly	Kl. Now Whi	ite wins at.
superior, b		least the	exchange.
ending as h	O MOC TROME		QR∴Kl
short of ti	me.	18:N-B4	Q ≟ B4
23	BxB	19.QR-Q1	N - K2
24 . QxB	$P_{\mathbf{X}}Q$	If 19BxRI	2 (mot. 20.
	-	P_ONS) but S	20.Q=R4 for
25.RxQ	B - Q5ch		CO-W-IV4 TOI
26.K - Bl	RxB		1 21.N-R5 B=
27.N-B5	R-Nl!	Q4 22.RxB Q	lxR 23.BxN!
28.NxP	P - K6	etc.	
		20.KR-K1	דמ מ
29.P-KN4	P - R4		R-Bl
30.R - B5	R-R1!	21.NxB	$N^{\times}N$.
31.RxPch	K-Nl	22.BxN	Resigns
32.N-B5	R_R8ch	WITT' CO.	
		XTT Gilou 1	Intermation-
33.K-K2	R-N8!	al 1965	
Now NxKP lo	ses a		L.Bronstein
picce.			-m • DILDING CETH
34.NxB	$P_{\mathbf{X}}N$	Caro Kann	
	1-X1/	1.P-K4	P QB3
	T 7 675 #	T . T YET	1 - QDO
35.R-QN5	R -K R8		
35.R-QN5 36.P-B5??		2.P - Q4	P-Q4
36 P-B5??	R-R7ch	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3	P - Q4 PxP
36 P-B5?? 37 K-B3	R - R7ch P - K7	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP	P-Q4 PxP B-B4
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3	P - Q4 PxP
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6	2 .P-Q4 3 .N-QB3 4 .NxP 5 .N-N3 6 .B-QB4 7 .N/1-K2 8 .P-KR4	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?!
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffi	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?!
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffi	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiling for Bl US Open Blo	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiling for Bl US Open Blo	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4 N-KB3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for B1 US Open Blo BxN against	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Chain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better.
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Dain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op-
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Chain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Dain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Dain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Bx	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Opests P; Q-B3
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Dain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-N4 Ex with equali	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However.
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Dain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Ex with equaliB-R2 N/3	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 poses
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Chain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 dfcrior to	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Ex with equaliB-R2 N/3 more proble	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1-
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Chain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 dfcrior to	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Ex with equaliB-R2 N/3 more proble	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1-
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 aferior to QN-B3 B-QB4	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for B1 US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-N4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problemack. Perhap	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1- s the whole
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?!	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 forior to QN-B3 B-QB4 D-N3?	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problemack. Perhapline is que	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1- s the whole
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?! B-K2 was no	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Bpain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 ferior to QN-B3 B-QB4 D-N3? cessary	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-N4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problemack. Perhapline is que for Black.	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?! cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1- s the whole stionable
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?!	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Bpain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 ferior to QN-B3 B-QB4 D-N3? cessary	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Ex with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problem ack. Perhapline is que for Black. 10.NxB	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Opests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 poses ms for B1- s the whole stionable NxN
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?! B-K2 was no to repel th	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Bpain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 ferior to QN-B3 B-QB4 D-N3? cessary	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-N4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problemack. Perhapline is que for Black.	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Opests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 poses ms for B1- s the whole stionable NxN
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?! B-K2 was noto repel th 9.P-QB4!	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino pain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 ferior to QN-B3 B-QB4 B-N3? cessary e knight. N-B3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problem ack. Perhapline is que for Black. 10.NxB 11.P-R5	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which bettor. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1- s the whole stionable NxN N-K2
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?! B-K2 was not orepel th 9.P-QB4! 10.P-B5	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino Spain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 derior to QN-B3 B-QB4 B-N3? cessary de knight. N-B3 NxN	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Ex with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problem ack. Perhap line is que for Black. 10.NxB 11.P-R5 12.Q-N4	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which better. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 peses ms for B1- s the whole stionable NxN N-K2 R-N1
36.P-B5?? 37.K-B3 38.R-N8ch 39.R-N7ch 40.R-N8 41.R-K8ch Resigns Gijon Suttles vs Canada vs S 1.P-K4 2.N-QB3 3.P-KN3 4.PxP 5.B-N2 6.KN-K2 Probably in N-B3. 6 7.0-0 8.N-K4?! B-K2 was noto repel th 9.P-QB4!	R-R7ch P-K7 K-B2 K-K3 P-Q6 K-B2 Bellino pain P-K4 N-KB3 P-Q4 NxP B-K3 ferior to QN-B3 B-QB4 B-N3? cessary e knight. N-B3	2.P-Q4 3.N-QB3 4.NxP 5.N-N3 6.B-QB4 7.N/1-K2 8.P-KR4 9.N-B4 It is diffifind a satiline for Bl US Open Blo BxN against probably is Horowitz in enings sugg R2; Q-M4 Bx with equaliB-R2 N/3 more problem ack. Perhapline is que for Black. 10.NxB 11.P-R5	P-Q4 PxP B-B4 B-N3 P-K3 B-Q3 P-KR3 N-K2?: cult to sfactory ack. In the hm played me, which bettor. Chess Op- ests P; Q-B3 ty. However, -R5 pess ms for B1- s the whole stionable NxN N-K2 R-N1

```
This is by no means bad. 34. BxP!
   Saving the bishop would
   lose more time, and not
   solve Black's difficul-
   ties.
   15.NxBch
               QxN
   16.B-B4
               Q = Q2
               N/3-Q4
   17.B-QN3
   18.B-Q2
               0-0-0
   19.0-0-0
               K-Nl
   20.Q-B3
               N-Bl
   21.KR-K1
               K-Rl
   22.B-B2!?
   A possible alternative
   was P-QB4, but after..
   N-B3 B-B3 or K3 or B4
  -it is hard to find a
  breakthrough for White
  after 23...N-Q3.
B- 22. . . .
               N-Q3
   23:P-QN3
   Perhaps K-Nl, N-B5
    24.B-QBl and then
   driving the Knight
   later was better,
   though it was tempting
   to deny the cavalry
   such a good observation
   post.
   23. ...
              P-QN4!
   24 . K-N2
              R-Nl
   25.R-R1
              R-N2
   26.P-R4
              R-B1
   Possible was PxP 27.
   RxP N-N3 followed by
   N-B5ch and NxB though
   White still has pull.
   27.PxP
              PxP
   28.R-R6
              R-B3
  Black would do better
  to retain some pioces
   for a counterattack.
  29 .RxR!
              \Omega \mathbf{x}R
   30.B-B4!
              P-R3
  31.B-K5
              R-B2?
  Plack has obtained good
   counterplay, but must
  defend his Kingside
  pawns. P-B3 or N-Kl
  was necessary.
  32.BxP
              Q-N3!
  Black wins a pawn
  back and gota a seem-
  ingly dangerous attack.
  But White's sacrifice of Scattle Chess Club
  the exchange squelches
  the counterplay.
```

14.N-K4

N-QN3

```
33 .R-K3!
              K-R2
              P-N5
              QxPch
35.P-B4
36.K-N1
              N-B6ch
Losing quickly, but
there is no solution
to Black's dilema, for
example: Q-Q7 Q-Q1! or
RxP NxP R-N3.
37.RxN
              QxR
38.QxQ
              PxQ
39.B-N7
              Resigns
The KRP saunters home.
Suttles came in second
behind L. Bronstein by
a half point in the
Gijon Tourncy, even
though he defeated him
in the above game.
Wh. Schoene vs
Bl..Zemgalis Rd. 5
 1.P-K4
             P-QB3
 2.P-Q4
             P-Q4
 3.PxP
             PxP
 4.P-QB4
             N-KB3
 5.N-QB3
             P-K3
 6.N-B3
             B-K2
 7.B-N5
              0-0
 8.R-QB1
             P-QN3
 9.B-Q3
             PxP
10.BxP
             B-N2
11.0-0
             N-QB3
12.P-QR3
             R-QBl
13.Q-Q3
             N-Q4
```

28.BxB Q-B329.P-N3 Drawn W. C. F. ANNUAL MEETING !!!! Sunday March 27th at 1:00 P.M. at the 766 Thomas - MA 23332 BRING YOUR IDEA !!!!

14.N-K4

15.B-Q2

16.KR-K1

17.QR-Q1

18.B-R2

19.B-N1

21.P-R5

22.BxN

23.PxP

25.NxN

27.RxR

24.PxPch

26.Q-KN3

20.P-KR4

R-Kl

B-Bl

Q**-**B2

KR-QI

P-KN3

B-N2

N-B5

QxB

QxP

RxN

IxI

BxN

NxQP

P**-**KR3

No a Classes Ma			D 175	(D) 1 11 0		7 37 00 5 7	
MacSkasy - Mc		28.RxN	P - K5	Pup ls - McC		RxN 29 RxF	
1.P-Q4	N-KB3	29.NxP	Q-K2!	St. Champ Ro		27.N-Q4	R-D8
2.P-QB4	P - K3	30.NxP	BxR	Notes by McC		28.RxR	RxR
3.N - QB3	B - N5	31.NxB	P ∸ B6	1.P-Q4	N-KB3	29.Nl-N3	$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbb{N}$
4.P-K3	P-QN3	32.R-N3	PxP	2.P→2B4	P – K3	30.PxD	P-R8(Q)
5. KN-K2	⊇ ∸ R3	33 •R-K3	P-K8(Q)	3.D-KN5?!	B-N5ch	31.NxQ	$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbb{N}$
6.P-QR3	B - K2	Resigns			riation of the		
7. N-112	P-04	White won	the best	Nimzoindian		60 moves.	
8.P-QN3	0-0	women's pri	ze in this	4.N-Q2	· ·		-
9.B - N2	PxP	event. Blac	k is.of	No!	•, • •	Pupols-Pul	
		course, our			ם אינו	Wash St. C	hamp.
10.PxP	N-B3	editor, "RO		4	P-KR3	1.P-Q4	N-KD3
11.B-Q3	Q _ Q2			5.D-R4	P-04.	2.P-QB4	P-KN3
12.Q-K2	KR - Ql	POSTAL Q	UICKIE		ng altornative	3.P-KN3	B-N2
13.R÷Q1	Q - Kl	B. M. Grant	<i>रह</i> ब		6.D-N3 N-K5 7.	4.D-N2	0-0
14.0-0	R-Bl	Boyd E.		N-D3 P-N5 8.	P-QR3! and 8	5.N-KB3	0-0 P-03
15.N-K4	$N_{\mathbf{X}}N$			B-R4 is not	good because of	6.0-0	
16.NxN	N - R4	1.P-Q4	N-KP3		this variation	0.0-0-0	P-D4
17.P-B4	P_B4	2.P-QB4	P-K3		y 7P-Ki4 with	1 41 - 30	N - R3
		3.N-Q33	P - Q4		d struggle a-	0.14	N-B2
18.N-N3	Q - B2	4.B-N5	QN - Q2		a sonaggie a-	9.B-B4	R-Nl
19 • P-K4	PxP	5.BPxP	PxP	head.	D D	10,P-QR4	P - QR3
20.NxP	P-R3	6.R - Bl	P - B4	6.PxP	PxP	11.P-R5	P-QN4
21.N - N5	$P_{\mathbf{X}}N$	$7.N_{\mathbf{X}QP}$	PxP	7.P-K3	0-0	12.PxPep	RxP.
22.PxP	B - B3	8.N-QB7ch	K - K2	Rathor tame	, but 7P-KM4	יסת מ פר	N-RA
23 • PxI	PxP	9.QxP	Resigns	8.D-N3 N-K5	9.N-B3 B-N5 10	74 7 00	P-K4
24.Q-N4ch	K-Bl			Q-74ch N-B3	11.B-N5 is not	15 P_K/	O-KJ
25.P-Q5	P ∴ K34	US Chā	mpionship	clear. 7B-	B4 is another	16.N-Kl	
26.DxP	ExP		Evans Rd5	possibility.			P-D4
27.B-Q3	résigns	1.P-Q4	N-KD3	8.B-Q3	R-Kl	17.N <u>-</u> Q3	P - B5
K1 • D=00	restRus	2 P-QB4	P-KN3	9.N-B3	D - K2	18.N-R4	R-Nl
		3.N-QB3	P-Q4	Intending N		19.P-QN4	PxP
Wrs. Lina Grund		4.PxP				20.DxNP	$\mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$
Richard Schu	ıltz.		NxP	lo.DxN	BxB	21.NxR	B - R3
1965 Americar	n Open	5.P-KN3	B-N2	11.P-QN4??	N-D3	22 .P-N/x	P - B6
1.P-034	P-K4	6 .B-N2	N - N3	12.D-N5	D-05.	23.BxP	N-B5
2.N-QB3	N-KB3	7.P-K3	0-0	NxQP leads	to nothing.	21.N-N6	D-N2
3.P-KN3	P-KN3	8.KN-K2	P - K4	13.0-0	• • •	25 # 110	B-N4
4.B-N2	B-N2	9.P - Q5	P-QD3	This leads	to trouble but	00 7 07	P - KR4
5.N - B3	N - B3	10.P-K4	PxP	13.BxN was n	ot very invit-	27 D-D	
6.0-0	0-0	11.PxP	P - B4	ing.	J	A/ FXE	PxP
7.P-Q3	P - KR3	12.0-0	QN - Q2	13	$N_{\mathbf{x}}NP$	28.R-KN3	K-R2.
8.B-Q2		13 .P-QR4	P - K5	14 BxB	QxB	29.RxD	R-M
9 • P–KR3	P - Q3.	14 N-B4	N - K4	15.R-N1	P-B4!	30. RxR	Gxy
10.R-Bl	B-K3	15.Q-N3	K-Rl	TO TO TO THE	r-1/ ₂ ;	31.(1-73	N-Kl
10.K-B1 11.K-R2	Q - Q2 N-KR4	16.R-Q1	N/3 - B5	THE DESU MO	ve of the game	•32.K - Rl	N - D3
		17.N-N5	Q-N3	16.PxP	NxP	33.D - R3	N - N5
12.N-Kl	P-B4	20.N/Q-B7	-	17.Q-N3	N-P6	34.N-Q7	K - R3
13.B-Q5	P - B5		QR_Bl	18.QxNP	$\mathcal{O}\mathbf{x}\mathcal{O}$	35.DxN	$Px\mathbb{D}$
14.N-B3	P-KŅ4	21.R-Q5	Q-N3	19.RxQ	KR-Bl	36.Q⊷N3	Q-N4
15.BxBch	$Q\mathbf{x}\mathbb{B}$	22.P-R5	NxRP	20.N-N3	P_()R4	37.R-KN1	D-D1
16.P - KN4	N - B3	23.Q - R2	N-N5:	21.P-N3	P - 175	38.QxP	
17.N-K4	$N \times N$	24.QxN	QxPch	22.N-R1	$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{x}P$	39.13xQ	$\mathcal{J}\mathbf{x}\mathcal{O}$
18.PxN	N-K2	25.K - Rl	P - K6		n sense the la	DO DIENI	$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{N}$
19.B-B3	QR-Q1	26.P-R3	P-B5!	alerran morros	have been a, c	DEMONITOR OFFICE	PxR
20.P-N3	R-B2	27.PxP	RxP				D-D1
21.R-KN1	B - B3	28.BxP	NxB		ding to a won		D - N5
22 •R-N2	P - B3	29.R - Q2	Q-N6	game for Bla		43.K-N2	B - K7
23.Q-B2	P - Q4.	30.N-Q5	`NxN	23 • K-N2	P-R6	44.N-N5	DxP '
24.DPxP	PxP	31.RxN	R-KB7	24.N-Kl	P - R7	45.NxP	B - R3
25.PxP	NxP!	32.R-KN1	RxB	25.N/Kl_D2	N - K5	46.K-D3	K-N4
		and White 1		26.R-Q1	R/1 - QB1!	47. P-RAch	KxP
26.Q-N6ch?	R - N2	time.	0	Much stron	ger than 26.	48.KxP	K-R4
27.QxP	NxB	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		RxN 27.NxR P	-R8(Q) 28.NxQ	White lat	
	-1			:	. = / - / - / - /	161	NOIT.

	ı						
17.RxR	QxR	8.P - Q5	N - K2	16.P-K4	N - R4	24 • R - B2	N - Q2
18.BxP	Q-N2	9. N-Kl	N-Kl	17.B-QN5	P - R3	25.R/1-KD1	R - K2
19.BxB	QxB	10.P-D3	P - KB4	18.D-Q3	P-QN4	26.Q-N5	R1-K1
20.Q-R4	Q-N2	11.P-KN4	N-133	19.P-QN4	N-N2	27.P-KR4	N - Ql
21.Q-N5	Q - Bl	12.P-KR4	P-B5	20.0-0	B-03	28 P-R5	R – K3
22.B-K3	P - B3	13.N-Q3	P - KR3	21.P-K5			and the second s
23 .R-R1	PxP			1	B-Nl	29.P-K4	N-B4
24.R R7	R-B2	-14.B-Q2	B - Q2.	22.B-K4	B - R2	30.R-B6	R3 - K2
		15.P - B5	P-QR4	23.R1-B1	IR-NI	31.RxPch	K-Rl
25.Rx?	KxR	16.Q – N3	Q-B1.	24.R-37	R-Q1	32.Q-B6ch	K-R2
26.N-R4	N - B3	17.N-D2	N-Kl	25.K-Bl	-P-()R4	33.R-R6ch	Resigns
27.N-N6	Q - B2	18.K-N2	B - B3	26.PxP	-N - B4	When Me asko	
28.N-B4	NxP	19.R-R1	K-N2	27.RxB	·NxD		
29.Q-R6	Q-Q2	20.PxP	$N_{\mathbf{x}}P$	28.R1-B7		played 17 replied that	xr;, black
30.K-D1	QxPch	21,QR-QB1			P-N5		
31.K-K1	K-K2	22 N-N5	BxN	29.RxP	P-N4	aftaid of th	
32.N-N6	K-33	23 BxB	P-N3	30.B-K3	P-N6	by P-KN4, byt	Dirty Jim
				31.N_R4	R - Q2	says this wo	uld have
33.Q-R8	Q - B6	24.B-K2	Q - Q1	32 RAKB7xR	BxR	been a horri	
34.N-D4	Q - R8ch	25.N - Q3	P-KN4	33.B-Q4	P⊷N7	on his part.	. Who is
35.K-K2	Q – ₩7ch	26.P-R5	୍ଦ−ଦ୍ରଥ	34.BxP	RxB	right here, I	ic or the
36.K-Kl	Q _ D6	27.KR-Q1	KR - QB1	35, RxB	RxPch	teachings of	
37Q-Q8ch	B - K2	28.B-B3	N - B2	36 K-K1	PxN		
38-Q-16ch	B - Q3	49 Q-R3	Q Q3		!	Pupols - Ras	
39.BxP	Q_R8ch	30 QxQ	PxQ	37.P-R6	R-Bl	l.P-K&	P-K3
40.B-Nl	K_K2	31.B-Kl	N-Ql	38.P-R7	N - B4	2 <u>P-0</u> 1	B-N5ch
-	l l	32.P-N4		39.R-B7	R-Rl	3.P-QB3	13 – K2
41.P-114	B - B4		N-N2	40.K-K2	N - R3	4.B -Q3	P - QB4
42.Q-B7ch	K-B3	33.P-R3	K-Bl	41.R-N7	K-31	5.N-Q2	N-QD3
43.QxPch	K-N3	34 N-N2	$P_{\mathbf{x}}P$	42.K-B3	P _ R4	6.N-K2	N - B3
44.Q⊸K6ch	N-D3`	35.PxP	N-Ql	43.K-B4	K - K]	7.0-0	PxP
45.N-K5ch	K - R3	36.N <u>-</u> B4	N - B2	44.K-N5	~K-Q1	$8 \cdot PxP$	P-03
46.€-R3ch	N-R4	37.B-B2	P-N4	45 Kx₽	K-Bl	9.P-QR3	P-QR3
47.N-M4ch	K-N3	38.N-N6	R - Q1	46.R -R 7	N-B2	10.P-QN4	Q _ B2
48.N-K5ch	K - R3	39.BxP	K-N2	47.R-R8ch		11.B-N2	
49.N-B7ch	K - N3	40.R-B7	N-Bl		K-N2		P-QN4
	I	41.NxN	R x B	48.RxR	KxR ·	12.Q-B2	D-N2
50.Q-K6ch	N-B3	42 .R-R1	RxP	49.KxP	KxP	13.P - Q5	PxP
51.N-R8ch	K - R3			50.K-N5	Resigns	14.0xN	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}$
52 •Q-R3ch	N - R4	43 R-R7	R-Bl	McCormick -	Pullan Rda	15.PxP	$\mathbb{B}\mathbf{x}$ R
53 . № <i>-</i> B7ch	K-N3	44.NxP	B-Q1	1.P-QB4	P - K ^N 3	16.RxB	Q - N3
54.N - K5ch	K - R3	45.RxNch	RxR	1		17.PxN	∃xP
55.N-N4ch	K - N3	46.RxR	K-Nl	2.N-QB3	B-N2	18.N-Q4	B-N2
56.Q-Q3ch	K-D2	47.R-N7	B <u>-</u> R4	3.P-KN3	P-Q3	19.R-Klch.	K-B1
57.Q-Blch	N - B5	48 RxR	BxR	4.B-N2	N - QB3	20.N-35	Q - B3
58.BxD	Q-K5ch	49.N-B5	Resigns	5.P-Q3	P - K4	21.QxQ	B x Q
59 . N-K3	Q-N8ch-	Daniel 7		6.N-B3	P - D4	22 R-QB1	_
		Round 3		7.R-QN1	N - B3		B - Q4
60 K-B2	Resigns	Sonier - J		8.B-Q2	0-0	23.B-K4	DxD
A real luck	·	1.P - Q4	NKB3	9.Q _ B1	D-Q2	24.NxB	P-N3
Dirty Jim,		2.P-QB4	P - K3	10.B-N5	Q - Bl	25.N/B5*P	P - B4
on the verg		3.N-QB3	B - N5	11.0-0	N – KR4	26.N - B5	K - K2
ing a quick		4.Q - B2	P - B4	12.B_R6		27.N/Q6-N7	K - B3
the tournam	ent. in-	5.PxP	0-0.	-	P - B5	28.P-N3	KR-K1
stead he őb		6.B - B4	$B_{\mathbf{x}}P$	13.BxB	$K_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{B}$	29.R-Q1	R - K7
6-0! Luck of		7 P-K3	N-B3	14.Q-Q2	B - R6	30.R-Q7	R-QR7
		8.N-B3	P_KR3	15.N-K4	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}$	31.RxP	
Pullen - So		· -		16.KxB	P-KR3		RxitP
1.P-Q4	N-KB3	9.P-KR3	P-Q4	17.P-KR3	PxP?	32.N-Q7ch	K-N4
2.P-QB4	P - KN3	10.2-01	Q-R4	18.PxP	Q – K3	33.P-D4ch	K _ №5
3.N-QB3	D-N2	- 11.P - R3	N - K5	19.Q-K3	R-B2??	34.N-K5 mate	;
4 • P-K4	P - Q3	12.R-R1	Й х И	20.N/3-N5!	PxN	Round 4	
5.N-KJ3	0-0	. 13 •ΩxN	QxQch	21.NxP	R - B3	Rasmussen -	Pullen
6.B-K2	P⊷K4	14.RxQ	РхР	22.NxQch		1.P-Q4	P-QB4
7.0-0	N - B3	15.BxBP	B - K2		$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbb{N}$	2.P-05	
, • O - O	11-270	I		23.P-KN4	N-B3	€ • 1 - -435	P - K4

(7 h (2	D C 7	1 :05 5 330	550 15	. 11 D F2	5.) 7:374	oc v va	T. (76%-1-
3 J Ivaniz3	P - Q3	25.B-N6	\mathbb{R}	15.P-L3	R-NI	75.K-Kl	P-NSch
4 • P-K4	N - K2	26.RxR	RxR	16.P-N5	N - 02	.76 . K .− Q2	BxP
5.P-KR4	P-KN3	27.QxR		17.Q-R2	K-B2	Resigns	
-			Q-Q3	1			
6.P - R5	D-N2	28.QxQ	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{Q}$	18.P-B4	N-Bl	Lundin - Pr	ipols Rd 5
7.3-K3	P-B4	29.N-D5	B-QB1	19.N-B3	Q - N3	1.P-K4	P-K4
					-		
8.P-KN3	N-OS	30.B - Q3	N - Q2	20.B-B1	Q R4	2.N - KB3	P - KB4
9.B-KN5	P-K23	$31.N_{XN}$	$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{N}$	21.P-K5	P - K3	3.D-B4	$P_{\mathbf{x}P}$
10.DxN	QxD .	32.BxP	P-N3	22.QPxP	BxP	4.NxP	Q - N4
11.N-N5"	N-D3	33.B-B4	K-N2	23.N-K4	QR - Q1	5.P-114	•
•		Į.					0xP
12.Px?	MxKP	34.K-Nl	P-B4	24.0-0	PxP	6.Q-R5ch	P - N3
13.0-05	P=13	35.B- 4 5	K - B3	.25 N-K5ch	K-Nl	7.B - B7ch	
		1		i .			K-Ql
14.Q - R3	0-0	36.K-B2	K-K2	26.N-B6	C-N3	8 LP	QxRch
15.B-N2	R-Nl	37.K-K3	P - B5ch	27.NxR	RxN	9.K-K2	
		38 K-Q3	B-N4ch	1			P-B3
16.B x N	PxB			28.B-K3	R-Bl	10.N-QD3	P K5
		39.B-B4	B - Q2	29.QR_Q1	B - B4		
17.N—QB3	B - B4	40.B-B2	.P-N4	-		11.N-B7ch	K-D3
18.0-0-0	P - N4			30.N - Q6	Q - B3	$12.3\mathrm{xP}$	$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{x}}$
	D-D1	41.B-R6	P-N5	31.NxR			
19.0xRP		42.K-B4	PxP		Ox_M	13.Q - N5	P ⊷ N4
20.Q-R5	P - N5			32.R-Q5	B K5	14.MxPch	$P_{\mathbf{X}}N$
21.NxP	B-B4	43.PxP	B – Iì6	33 R-Q2	- 1		
		44.K-Q5	B-K3ch		Q N5 c h	15.B-B4ch	K - N3
22.N-Q2	R-R1			34 .T-N2	Q - B4	16,Q-Q8ch	K-N2
23.Q-N5	RxP	45.K-B6	\mathbb{R} -Q2ch	35:R-N3	N-K3		
		16 K-N6	P-KFA			17.QB7ch	K - R3
24 •Q-D4	R/1 - R1			36 Q-B2	P-0R4	18.0xBch	K R4
25.N-N3	P - K5	47.K-R5	K - B3	37.R-Q1	B – Q6		
		48.B-R4ch	K - N3			19.B-B7ch	K - R5
26.P - U3	PxP	49.B-Kl	K-N4	38.R - Q2	P - R5	20.P-N3ch	K126
27.PxP	R/1-R5			39 .P-R3	B-Bl		
		50.B - B2	K-B3	I -		21.0xDch	KxP
28.Q-D1	Q—N2	51.K-N5	K-N4	40.Q-R2	B - K2	22.D-K4	N - KB3
29°.R-Q2	$\cdot \odot \mathbf{x} N$			41.R~KB2	N - N2		
		5 2 B-B5	$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{B}$			23.0xR	$N_{\mathbf{x}}$
30. Rock	RxR	53 • K x B	K - R5	42.R-R3	Q N5 c h.	24.BxN	Q-B6
31:N-K2	O-D7mat	7 50 1005		43.Q-N2	Q - B4		
	الاجارا الريال	54.K-Q5	K R6	1		25.Q - K5	\bigcirc x P ch
For the Pup	രിട≕്്	55.KxP	KxP '	44.Q-R8ch	D - B1	26.K-B3	P-04.
				45.K-R2	™ – K5	27.P-N4	QxPch
game from H	d 4 see	56 K-Q6 ?!(K	XP) D-N5				
olsewhere i	n this	57.K-K5??(F	XB) K-N6:	46.0xP	№ – КЗ	2 8.K−N4	OxN
				47.0xP	B <u>-</u> Ω4	29 .P-R4	Q - Nlch
issug with	C'S	58.K-Q4	$B_{\mathbf{x}}P$	The second secon		1	
notes		59.B→N5	B-N5	48.Q-KBl	P-B5	30.K - R3	RxB
11 / 002. /		-: a a T B A	P-R5	49.k-Q2	B ∸Q3	31.Q-R2ch	K - B6
Somier -	Dunn Rd	4 00 D-D-E					
	Daim na	60.B-B4 61.P-K5	P R6	50.RxD	QxR	Resigns	
.1.P-Q4	P-04	62.P-K6	P - R7	51.K-N3	NxP		
2.P-QB4	P-QB3					The White	player had
		63.P-K7	: B - R4	52.R-R4	Q – K3	properce +1	nis variat-
3.N-KI3	W-KD3	64.B-05	P - B6	53.B-04	Q – K5		
4.P-K3	P-K3					lon only to	o find that
		DITSCK MO	n. Real un-	54.R-R2	Q – K3	the transec	d had a line
5.N-D3	QN - Q2	fortunaterf	or White, but	, 55.QxPch	K - R2		
6 . B - -K2	PxP	le l	Ja Mila oce Date	EG O VO		of his own	that sup-
7.B-P	P-B4		lucky turn		QxPch		at of White's!
		in his next	round game-	57 : K=N2	OxRch		
8.0-0	B - K2	with Rasmus		、58.K - ∃1	2xQch	Dunn-McCorr	mick Rd 5
9 . Q - 12.	0-0 .		0.0114		NT TOOM	1.P-K4	P - QB4
		Round 5		59 . K x Q	N-K3		_
10.P-QM3	P-0R3		C	60.DK3	P - N4"	2.N-QD3	N - QB3
11.B-Q3	PQN4.	Rasmussen'-				3.P-KN3	Р-КŅЗ
12.B-N2	D-N2	1.P-Q4	N - KD3	61.P-N4	K - N3	!	
				62 • PRA	K-B4	4.D-N2	\mathbb{B} -N2
13.P-K4	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{P}$.	2.N-QD3	P-KN3	33.P-R5		5.KIV-K2	P403
		3.P-K4	P - 03		N-BŞ		
14.NxP-	P=N5	4.P-KB3	D-N2	. 84N6	N \rightarrow $R1$	6 P-03	N _ B3
15. N-702	R=QBI	1	10-10C	L65.J-Q4	B - B2	7.0-0	0-0
	Q - R4	5.B-K3	OC				
16,6U-K2		6.Q-Q2	R-Kl	_66.P-R6	P - $R5$	8.P-D4	D-Q2
17.K—131	N- K4			67.P-N5	P-R6	9.P-KR3	R-Nl
18.D-B2	KR-Q1	7.P-KR4	(IN_(IZ				
		8.P-KN4	P-B4	.68.K-Dl	.K - K5	10.P-0.R4	P - QR3
19.KR-Q1	R-Q2	1		69.B - B6	₽ – N5	11.P-KN/	P -QN 4
20.17-02	QR-Ql	9.P-Q5	P-QR3			1	
The state of the s		10,P-R5	P-QN4	70.P-B4	P - N6	12.PxP	$P_{\mathbf{x}}P$
21.Q:-Q1.	Q - B2	1	and the first terms of the first	71,P-D5	√K - -B6	13.P-N5	N - Kl
22 .P-D3	N-B3	ll.PxP	$\mathbb{D}P\mathbf{x}P$			1	
		12,N3-K2	N-KL	72 P-N6	$N_{\mathbf{X}}P$	14.P-D5	N-B2
23.0-02	$N\mathbf{x}N$		•	73.PxN	$\mathbb{D}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{P}$	15.N-N3 ·	P-N5
24.BxN	P-K4	13.N-N3	N - B5	74.P-R7		16.M/D-K2.	N-K4
TO AN OWNER OF THE PERSON OF T		14.BxN	Pxii	Liter - If I	P-N7ch	TO • W	14-IV-F
14			7	•			

17_P-B3	PxP	25.N-Kl	DN3	22 .R-K2	N-Kl	10.Q-Q2	P - Q3
18 PxP	QB - B3	26.N-B3	DK5	23.RxN	N-K3	11.P-R3	P-R3
19 B-K3	R-Kl	27,B-Q2	P-N4	24.QxPch	Resigns	12.BxRP?	PxB
20 . Q-B2	R-N2	28.B-B3	N-R4			13.0xP	Q-Kl
21.Ñ-B4	Q~Nl	29.N-K5	N-B5		Lundin Rd 6	14.0-0-0	N-B5
22 KR-N1	N-N4	30 K-B1	N-Q6	1.NKB3	N-KB3	15.Q-R4	Q - R4
23.B.Q2	Q - B2	31.N-N4	K-B1	2.P _ B4	P - K3	16.Q-N3ch	
	Rl-Nl	32 NxRP	N-N5	3.N - B3	P - Q4		Q-N3
24.R. R6		33 N-B5	B x RP	4.P - Q4	'I} - N5	17.Q-K1	B-K2
25.R1 A1	Q - B1	34.P-N3	N-B7	5.P-K3	P-B4	18 .P-N3	N-R4
26 NK4	N-B2	35.B-N7ch		6.P-QR3	PxOP	19.B-Q3	··QxP
27.R7-R2	R-N6	1	KK1	7.KPxP	$\mathtt{B}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathtt{N}$	20.Q-B1	K≈⋅Rl
28 N-Bl	R6-N2	36.R-Bl	K-Q2	8.PxB	0 - B2	21.R-R2	N=-R2
29.Nl-K2	P - Q4	37.RxN	BxR	9.B - Q3	P-QN4	22.BK2	Q - B5ch
30,P - ()4	N - B5	38, K. N2	BxNP	10.P-B5	B - Q2	23. KN]	N-N6
31.B-B4	R – N7	39 NxB	D-N3	11.Q-K2	P_QR3	24.Q-Kl	$N_{\mathbf{X}}$ B
32 , $12x$	$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{x}}$ R	40.NxP	K - K2	12.N-K5	P _ R3	25,NxP	NxNch
33 Q-Q3	B -N 4	41.N-K5	B - B2	13.0-0	NB3	26.0xN	@xN
34.Q - B3	N - Q7	42.N.N4	B-N3	14.P-KB4	N-QR4	27.0-02	ŘB5.
35.DxN	RxB	43.N-B5ch	K-B2	15.P-B5	N-B5	28.R-N2	R1-KB1
36,R-QB1	B - B5	44.N.K5ch	K-N1	16,B-B4	Ω - Bl	29.0-03	R - B6
37,Q-K3	R - Q6	45.B~B6	B - B7	17.QR-K1	0-0	30.Q-K2	R – B7
38.Q-D2	PxP	46.N⋅K7ch	K-Bl	18,PxP	B xP	31.RxR	R x R
39 PxP	Q _ R3	47.P - Q6	BR5	19,BxP	NN5	32.Q-K1	B – R5
40.P-K5	R - Q7	48.P-Q7	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{P}$	20,BxN		33 R-Q2	
41,P-B6	PxP	49.NxBch	KB≳	21.B-B1	QNPxB	-	RxR
42 , KFxP	B-B1	50.BxP	R-R2	22.RxB	B-B4?	34.Q-R4	Q - B3
	R-Q .	51.NxP	R-B2	,	QxR	35.Q-N4	RxBP
43.Q-K3	-	52.P-N4	P - R4	23.QxN	OxO	36,Q-B8ch	K-N2
44 Q-B2	Q - R6	53 N-Q5	ī ≀- B3	24.NxQ	R-Nl	37.0xPch	K _ R3
45 BxP	$\mathbb{D}\mathbf{x}\mathbb{D}$	EAD VZ	PR5	25.N-K5	KR-Kl	38.P-K3	Q - B8ch
46 .RxN	R-Q8ch	55 P-N5	K-N3ch	26.K-Bl	R-N8	39,K-R2	Q - B5ch
47,K-R2	P-R4	56 K-B3	P-R6	27.B-K3	RxRch	40.K-N1	R-B8mate
48,N - B3	P - R 5	57.P-N6	R - Bl	28,KxR	P-B3	Lundin - Du	
Resigns		58.P-N7	P - R7	29.N-N5.	R – K5	1.P-K4	P-0B4
Round 6		59 B-Q4	R-Nl	30.P - D6.	RK2	2.N-KB3	P-03
Dunn - Rasmu	ıssen	60.N-N4	R_KBl	31.K-Q2	R - B2	3.P-KR3	N-QB3
1 P-K4	PK4	and Thit		32.N-B2	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}$	4.□ ∴ N5`	B-Q2
N-KD3	N-QD3	41.1. 111	2 WOM-	33.N-Ql	R-N3	5 , P-QD3	N-D3
3,D-N5	P=013	McGormick -	Sonier Rd 6	34.K-B2	P-N4	6.Q-K2	P-QR3
4 D-R4	P-QN4	1.P-K4	·P-QB4	35.B-Bl	K B2	7.B-R4	P-KN3
5 D-N3	B-N2	2.N-KD3	PK3	36.N-N2	R ⊸K3	8.P-04	PxP
6.0-0	N-B3	3.P-Q4	PxP	37.K-Kl	K~N3	9.PxP	P-QN4
7.N-N5	P-Q4	4.NxP	N-KD3	38.N-R4	P-B4	10.D-B2	D-N2
8,PxP	N - Q5	5.N-QB3	P-Q3	39,N-B5	R - B3	11.0-0	0-0
9.K-R1	NxD	6.B-K2	B -K2	40.K - K2	-P - B5	12.P-QR3	R-Bl
10.RxPch	B – K2	7.0 - 0	0-0	41.1	R - Q3	13.D-K3	N-QR4
ll.RPxN	0-0	8.B - K3	P - QRZ	42, NK5ch	K - B4	14.P-QN4	N-B5
12 N-B3	B x P	9.P-QR4	P=QN3	43.P-R3	R-N3	15.B-N5	N-D3 P-KR3
13,P-Q4	B -Q3	10.P-KB4		44.K-Q1	Resigns	16.D-R4	
14 , ii – Kl	R-K1	11.B-B3	Q-B2			17.B-N3	P-KN4.
			B-N2 .		- McCormick	I '	N-R4
15.QN-Q2	P-54	12.Q-K2	ØN−Ø\$	Round 7	D 100	18.B-R2	Q-N3
16 KxRch	QxR DD	13.P-KN4	N-B4	1.P=04	P-KB4	29.NxP	PxN
17.P-D4	PxP	14.Q-N2	P-Q4	2.N≔QB3	N-KD3	20.0xN	OxP
18^PxP	B-N2	15.PxP	P-K4	3.P-KD3	N-QB3	21.P-K5	Q - R 5 .
19.P - Q5	B - B1	16.PxP	QxP.	4.P⊷K4	PxP ·	22.QxQ	PxQ _
SO.N-NI	Q – K5	17.N-B5	K_R_	5.PxR	P - K4 -	23.R-R2	$N_{\mathbf{x}P}$
21.N-K3	P→KR3	18.QR∸Kl	Q – B ξ	6.P-Q5	N - KS	24 KR-K1	B - K3
22 .QB2	$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\mathcal{Q}$	19.B-Q4	B - Q <u>-</u>	7.N-B3	N - N3	25.B-QN3	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{D}$
23.NxQ	B - B4	20.Q-Q2	Q– Q 2	8.P-QR3	B - B4	26.R-K2	any
24 , N - K3	D - Q6	21.Q-N4	R_KII	9.B - N5	0-0	Resigns	
						•	

RUSSELL'S CHESS CHATS - March

Boris Spassky and W. Uhlmann (E. Germany) tied for first place in the premier section of the 41st Hastings Christmas Congress at $7\frac{1}{2}$ out of 9. E. Vasiukov another Soviet grandmaster won third at 6. S. Gligoric (Yug) and H. Pfleger (W. Ger.) at $5\frac{1}{2}$. The rest are F. Gheorghiu (Roumanna) 4, W.R. Hartson (Eng) $3\frac{1}{2}$, P.N. Lee (Eng) 3, N. Littlewood (Eng) 2 and W. Nicolai (W. Ger.) $\frac{1}{2}$. Neither Spassky nor Uhlmann lost a game. Spassky drew the top three and won the rest. Uhlmann drew 1,3 & 5 winning the remaining games.

Sixteen year old Soviet Master Y. Balashov and Seventeen yr old Raymond Keene tied for first in the Challengers Tournament at 7 out of 9. Both can take part in next years Premiere. There were more than 200 players in the various sections of the Congress.

Using the British Chess Federation grading system on their performances of 1965, the top players are: Spassky 278, Smyslov 268, Tal 267, Fischer & Kholmov 266, Keres 265, Petrosyan 263, Portisch & Uhlmann 262, Geller & Larsen 260, Ivkov & Robatsch 259, Donner 258, Bronstein 256, Gligoric & Pachman 255, Parma 254, Gheorghiu, Bisguier & Filip 253. These are based on only a few tournaments.

Flores won the Championship of Chile with

12 points. Pilnik was second with $10\frac{1}{2}$.

Smyslov won a tournament in Santiago with ll ahead of Geller $10\frac{1}{2}$; Foguel 10; etc.

The new Czeck champion is 22 year old Augustin with 12½ out of 17. The top 5 Czeck players didn't take part.

Zina won the E. German championship with neither Uhlmann nor Pictsch taking part.

The Fourth Miemeyer Intermational Youth Tournament was held in Groningen, Holland & was won jointly by Whiteley (England Jr.Cmp) and Ree (Holland) with 8 from 11, 16 yr old Soviet master Kuprechik and Ostojic (Yug) 7½.

An Ulan Bator, Mongolia International Tny was won by Soviet Grandmaster Antoshin with 14 from 17.

Darga, O'Kelly and Pomar tied for first with $6\frac{1}{2}$ out of 9 in a tourney in Palma, Spain.

Without Botvinnik, Smyslov, Petrosian, Tal Spassky, and Geller playing the Ukrainian Grandmaster Stein won the XXXIII Seviet Chmp hald at Tallinn. This is his 2nd victory in three years. He scored 14 points. Next were Polugaiovsky $13\frac{1}{2}$; Taimanov 13, Furman & Suetin $11\frac{1}{2}$, Keres (just turned 50) 11, Zacharov $10\frac{1}{2}$, Osnoos 10, Bronstein $9\frac{1}{2}$, Bikhoosky, Korchnoj (he lost his first two games to Keres and Polugaiovsky then played a win or lose style and lost several) and Simagin 9, Chasin

 $8\frac{1}{2}$, Buchuran, Gufeld, Liavdausky & Vasinkov 8; Kuzmin 7; Mikenas $6\frac{1}{2}$ and Lepeshkin $4\frac{1}{2}$.

Gurgenidse won a Soviet tourney with 12 out of 17. Djiudjichaskuli 11 and Cholmev 101.

Gligoric won the 21st Yugoslavian Champ. 12 out of 17. This was his 11th title. Next were Ivkov and Parma 11 (no losses, both drew 13).

Interesting article in B.C.M. by Dr. Max Euwe. "Is chess played out?". He says no.

Did you know that Rubinstein defeated Alekhine, Capablanca and Lasker the first time he played them? Marshall defeated Lasker the first game they played Paris 1900 and the last game New York 1940. The 22 games between these two Lasker won 12 and drew 10.

New book out "Soviet Chess - Chess and Communism in the U.S.S.R." by D. J. Richards. I have ordered it, should be interesting.

TACOMA CHESS CLUB NEWS

The annual Tacoma Chess Club elections were held January 14. The office holders in 1966 are as follows: President Col Edward Bosse, Vice President David Haugen, Secretary Paul Howell, Treasurer Ernest Prentice, Director John Ward, Sergeant at Arms John Pericich and Bill Ward.

On January 20, a meeting of the officers & club directors was held wherein we laid plans for the upcoming Evergreen Empire Open to be held in Tacoma June 25-26. The complete advertisement will appear in next month's issue of the NWCL.

The Pierce County Closed Chess Tournament started January 21, with 22 contestants on hand, after the crown. Below are the leaders after four rather incomplete rounds.

- 1. Dave Haugen 4-0
- 2. Lyman Johnston 3-0 plus adj.
- 3. Dirk Somsen 3-1
- 4. Ernest Prentice 3-1
- 5. John Ward 3-1
- 6. William Slifer 2-1 plus adj.
- 7. Ernst Rasmussen 2-1 plus adj.
- 8. Wendell Litko 1-1 plus 2 adj.

John R. Ward Director TCC

TRAINOR OVER HERBERS

Ray Trainor reports that he has won a match over Pat Herbers by the score of 11-6.

If you are playing a match make sure that you ask for its publication and make sure that your editor receives a copy.

APRIL NWCL DEADLINE MARCH 18, 1966 !!!

NOISTHIVIEST RATINGS HOWARD

EVENTS COVERED

N.W.Invitational Pat Herbers vs Ray Trainor F.S.L. Gold Horde vs Seattle C.C. March of Dimes Washington Open P.S.L. Tacoma vs West Seattle C.C. P.S.L. Uof W. vs Bellingham

Wn. 1868 Abrahamson, Norman Alexandro, Frank Wn. 1776 Ore,1977 Aliabadi, Mohammad Almond, Dr. John C. Wn. 1863 Wn. 1504 B.C.1960 Arnold, Ed Aykroyd, Colin Bailey, Ed Wn. 1724 Wn. 1564 Bertram, Cal Jr. Wn. 1821 Blackmore, Wm. Wn. 1486 Bosse, Col. Edward Wn. 1657 Botham, Terry Wn. 1903 Brandal, Willy Wn. 1794 Ore.1736 Brandt, Larry Bricher, Gary Bricher, Vince Ore.1746 Wn. 1580 Brown, Robert N. Wn. 1957 Campbell, Jim Wn. 1799 Ore.1539 Carlson, Carl E. Cavin. Richard Chin, Danny Wn. 2005 Wn. 1578 Christopher, Stephen Wn. 2080 Clark, Alan Clark, Bernard Wn. 1351 Ore.1731 Cleveland, John Wn. 1457 Cole, Jon. Wn. 1830 Corey, Don Corwin, Chris Crofut, Fred ₩n. 1758 Ore.1525 B.C.1661 Cummings, Roy Dalbergs, Ivars DeStasio, Douglas Ore.2296 Wn. 1157 Dorn, Rupert I. $\forall n. 1)18$ *U*n. 1128 Dorwin, J.R. #n. 1780 Cal.1906 Dunn, Rodney Edmondson, Col. Edward √n. 1691 √n. 1757 Farkas, Mike Farmer, Larry Fosha, Albert wn. 1701 Isr.1772 Friedman, Nadav Wn. 1831 Fulton, David Wn. 1716 Gillette, ∛m. Wn. 1706 Gillis, Vincent Wn. 1925 Grannis, Dave Gribble, Gordon Ore.1552 Hambleton, Les В.С.1657 Ore.2153 Harmon, Clark R

P.S.L. Boeing vs Kitsap C.C. Portland St. vs George Fox U of W. vs Western Wash. U of O. vs Portland St. U of W. Tournament S.J. Christopher vs John Walker

SEATTLE CHESS CLUB NEWS

The annual meeting and election of Officers was held on Fri. evening Jan. 21st Members present were, Svend Monrad Wm. Gillette Harry Owens Gene Fomin Glen Muller Buz Eddy Don Fulljames S. Christopher Earl Wilson Neils Sorenson Chris Corwin John Walker Ray Poteete Phillip Ceis James Knittel

Officers elected	d for 1966
President	Gene Fomin
Vice Fresident	Svend Monrad
Sec. Treasurer	S. Christopher
Director	Buz Eddy
Director	Phillip Ceis
Director	Glen #uller
Director	Earl Vilson
Director	John Walker
Tour. Director	Buz Eddy
Asst. Director	John Walker
Club Ladder	Fred M. Howard

Vas voted to affilate with U.S.C.F. Also agreed to keep Club rooms open on Tuesday, Friday and Saturday evenings. Club's telephone number is MA-2-3332

By Fred Howard

Seglins, Viesturs Sjodin, Robert Smith, Larry Smith, Ronald G. Sobczyk, Eugene Sonier, Eddie Stein, Ralph Stiles, Gerald Stough, Norman Suttles, Duncan Thompson, Chuck Trainor, Ray Urbanowicz, Charles Vitanyi, Leslie Wainey, Ken Walker, John Wester, Zack Wick, Art Williams, Dave Wolfe, Jerry Zemgalis, Elmars	Wn.	1804 1791 1691 1681 2289 1730 1755 1772 1962 1501 1804 1602 1586 1808 1969
---	---	--

JEFF HOLMES BROOKINGS CC. CHAUPION:

Jeff Holmes won the Brookings Chess Club Championship after defeating Art Holmberg 3-1 in a playoff match. The only real surprise of the tourney-was. Don Ritz's low score, which is a poor example of his playing strength.

1. Jeff Holmes -1 2 2 2 2 9-1 2. Art Holmberg 1 - 2 2 2 2 9-1 3. Dr.Wm.LeBoeuf 0 0 - 1 2 2 5-5 4. Bruce Dodson 0 0 1 - $1\frac{1}{2}$ 1 $3\frac{1}{2}$ $6\frac{1}{2}$ 5. E. F: Strong 0 0 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ - 2 $2\frac{1}{2}$ $-7\frac{1}{2}$ 6. Don Ritz 0 0 0 1 0 - 1-9

Jeff writes that he lost his second round game in the tourney and then lost the first game of his match with Art, so he wasn't too sure of winning until the last game was over.

N.W. versus S.W. Postal Match

On board 1 Oliver Morris reports that in A he has a pawn advantage with a good solid position. He expects a win here if he dosen't falter. In the second game B the situation is fluid, with his men slightly more mobile and a little more room to work in.

Board 8 David McCord reports he has won both of his games from Ronquillo.

On Board 7 Mrs. Denison reports that she has a win in her first game and the second a Tasker's Defense to the Queen's Gambit is materially even, but her opponent has more space at present. She has sent some notes to her games which will be published at the match conclusion. Any others with reports?

DRITISH COLUMBIA OPEN CHESS TNY.

Place: Royal Canadian Legion Hall, Wallace

Street, Nanaimo, British Columbia.

Dates: May 21st and 22nd, 1966.

Type: 6 Round Swiss Tournament

50 Moves in two hours

Prizes: \$100.00 First Prize guaranteed by the British Columbia Chess Federation.

Second and third prizes for the highest B and C players to be dependent

on the entry fees collected.

Entry Fee: \$6.50 for Canadians \$6.00 U.S.

In addition membership in the Canadian Chess Federation is required at \$1.00 for adults and 25¢ for juniors under 19 years of age.

The Tournament Committee hopes to arrange accommodation facilities for out of town players. Further details and travel schedules of ferries to Vancouver Island will follow.

For any further information write: Fred Schulz

2201 Fern Road Nanaimo, B.C. Phone 758 6628.

ROSE CITY OPEN CHESS TOURNAMENT

Dates: June 11th and 12th, 1966.

ŕ

either the Oregonian's Hostess House, or Portland State College

in Portland.

Type: 1. A USCF section, in which players

rated above 1600 points may partic-

ipate.

2. A Reserve Section: Open to anyone

below 1600 points.

Prizes: \$600 cash plus one trophy for the USCF Section, the Reserve Section will be awarded merchandise, cash (if surplus), and trophies. Our goal is to offer \$1,000 in prize

money if possible.

Entry Fee: \$10 for players above 1600, \$5 for those rated below 1600.

Chairman: Greg Kern, USCF Section: Moore & Harmon, Reserve Section: Richardson, Kern & Mitchell.

1966 WASH. STATE H.S. CHAMP.

Place: 1504 Hewitt Avenue, Everett, Wn.

behind the restaurant

Dates: All day March 19th

Registration: 9 AM with the first round

starting at 10 AM.

Type: A 5 round Swiss with 60 moves per

hour.

Entry Fee: \$2.00

Eligible: All Wash. State. H.S. players.

UW TOURNEY REPLACES C.W. OPEN

Russell Miller has given up his dates of April 30 to May 1st to Dick Hayek of the University of Washington. As a result the Central Washington Open will be cancelled and in its place a tournament will be held at the U of W in Seattle on these dates. It is fairly certain that this is the open type of tournament, but details are lacking at this time. This will give the Seattle players one more chance to warm up before the U.S. Open. Russell Miller is thinking about having another tourney somewhere east of the mountains later in the year.

5 ROUND THIRTY THIRTY TOURNEY

Place: Mark Anthony Hotel

Date: Sunday March 6th

Time: 9 AM

Write: Dr. Frank Freeburger

213 E. Main Ashland

R.R. MERK

R.R. (Bob) Merh died March 5, at Swedish Hospital, Seattle, from a heart attach, as this final page of Chero Letter was being printed. He was a wonderful man, and his loss will be deaply felt by our chess community.

For the past fifteen years Bob has been postal chess director and took care of the endless chores of circulation.

He will be greatly missed.

Place:

Please address reports and requests for new sections to Howard Weaver 501 W. Olympic Place, Seattle, Wash. 98119

New sections are available. If new to our tournaments please advise which class you wish to start in. Rules and instructions are sent. The entry fee of 50¢ per section helps pay for our postage and printing costs, and a small prize for the section winner. The 50¢ can be coins attached with scotch tape or a \$1 bill will pay for two, one now and one later. Ratings are published monthly on a basis of 50 points for a win, plus or minus 10% of the rating difference.

TTIAW	ING LIST FOR	SECTION AS	SIGNMENT	SECT	ION WINNERS
$\overline{ ext{VV}}$	Λ	В	C	63B4	Donnelly
Schultz	Wester	S. $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$ spey	?	64 B 6	Carroll
Horris	Fulton Almond	LeBoeuf	?	63A10	Collins
?	THOUG	Dodson	?	65B5	Hughes
?	?	?	?	64A9	Prentice
If yo	u have a pr	ize section	due and	64 <i>î</i> .11	Wick
are ready,	or want to	enter, or	if we have	65B4	Reh
somehow mi	ssed your n	ame, please	advise us.	641. 16	Horris

Section winners Christey and Lamasney have not advised us yet if they are ready for new sections due them for section wins previously announced.

We are hot awarding rating points for the NU/SW match because we have no basis any relation to, and cannot be comfor the SW players. In fact, our effort pared with tournament or other systo rate the Northwest Prize Tournament has tems. They just sort of grow from thrown us into some confusion because some entrants tended to equate postal with tournament ratings. Some very expert postal players may have poor tournament ratings due to a tendency to blunder under our members who play in national or tournament conditions, and some highly successful club players find their tactics will be able to suggest a formula don't stand the test of postal play.

Our rating figures do not have an arbitrary beginning. However we would welcome suggestions on how to revise them in line with some other established system. Maybe some of international postal competition to bring us into line.

= :	=========	=======	=====	=========	=======	=======================================	=======	
]	FEBRUARY	REPORTS			
64A14A	Weaver wins	47 pts.	from	Knapp	NV3B	Schenn	23	Roskan
$NUL\Lambda$	Becker	33		R.Miller	NV4B	Wester	25	Reddig
65B7A	Grant	41		D.Potter	NU4V	Wester	78	Prentice
65B7B	Grant	33		D.Potter	65A4B	Almond	8-2	Schmidt
65C3B	Ward	76		Pattie	NVI3B	Schenn	74	Prentice
NV5B	Weaver	10		Davis	65¢6A	McCord	44	Hainsworth
65A3B	Collins	53		Skarsten	NW5B	Becker	25	Davis
65B2	Stickle	82		Christey	64B12B	Carlson	30	W. Olson
65B2	Stickle	64		Skarsten	65C3A	Ward	33	J.Olson
65A3B	Vanatta	45		Skarsten	65C3A	Pattie	18	J.Olson
NU4V	Prentice	32		Scherm	65C8B	Buck	57	Running
N./3/1&B	Prentice	20		Roskan	65B4A	Reh	5 <u>3</u>	$\mathtt{Beclier}$
$64\Lambda4\Lambda$	Prentice	46		Nourse	65B4B 65C6B	Becker (½)		Reh
64B87.	Reid (%)	23		Christey		Holmquist	44	C.Glidden
64B8B	Reid	69		Christey	65B6B	Running	5 7	Denison
65B5B	R.Espey	42		Davis	65B7/1	Carroll	14	Shives
Λ LVM	Horris	10		Reh	6546	Wickberg wi		
$NVI4\Lambda$	Wester	60		Scherm	657.8	Wickberg wi		
NV4B	Wester	48		Scherm	641.9	Stratton w	Control of the Control	
N74/	Scherm	45		Reddig	66C1	H. Chin wit		
NV/4B 65B3B	Schenn	.36		Reddig	66c2	H.Chin with		
65B3B	Jensen with	draws		_	N(;	Sonier forf	eits all	games

Opponents receive 10 points for each remaining game when a player withdraws.

POSTAL CHESS RATINGS

"AA" Divis	sion	""." Divisi	on
(Over 170		continu	ıed
Morris	2305	Vanatta	1667
Parsons	2111	Heathman	1557 1554
Pupols	2100		
Seiter	2035	Person	1554
Wick	2013	J.Schrader	1527
Rudzitis	1984	Fulton	1523
	1908	Reh	1510
Kern	1900	Carlson	1453
L. Walker		Becker	1451
Schultz	1900	Kirk	1451
Wilson	1894	Christey	1449
Weaver	1841	W.Jones	1447
Curmins	1839	Stickle	1446
Harmon	1829	Carroll	1434
Schmidt	1815	Grant	1431
Eilmes	1800	Sliarsten	1418
H. Raymond	1780	Lyberger	1417
llage	1761	Hughes	1407
Bell	1750	Uselton	1400
Nourse	1743	Reid	1400
Prentice	1721	R. Espey	1400
Knapp	1706	"B" Divisi	ion
"A" Divis	sion	(1100 to 140	00)
. (1400 to	1700)	Woodward	1381
Stiles	1667	Gifford	1359
Wester	1666	Rader	1355
Almond	1663	Turner	1355
Erickson	1650	Widner	1340
Sage	1645	Baskett	1335
Schenn	1628	P.Lamasney	1332
Dean	1628	D.Olson	1328
J. Brown	1620	Betzer	1315
Vinyard	1620	Donnelly	1310
Potcete	1620	Dart	1309
Collins	1603	ncFarling	1305
Crist	1600	LeBoeuf	1300
J. Walker	1600	Rooney	1300
Johnson	1600	Dodson	1300
Powell	1600	riolts	1300
Stough	1598	Weber	1300
-		Scott	1300
Holmes	1597		
Peterson	1590	Reddig	1290 1283
		Dickson Grassham	1281
	DDRESS	P.Olson	1280
RENEWALS		F.OTPOH	1200

continue	d 4
Knittel	1205
Norris	1198
Davis	1197
D.Potter	1191
W.Olson	1190
Williams	1190
M.Miller	1181
Pattie	1179
	1152
Dearing	
Gorton	1138
Baldwin	1134
Webb	1128
S.Espey	1127
Cassino	1125
Herbers	1123
licCord	1113
Fay	1108
Holmquist	1105
"C" Division	
(Under 110	0)
J.Jones	1098
Ward	1085
Running	1072
Buck	1057
H.Glidden	1029
Denison Shives	1848
Shives Klein Bauran	10421
Bauman	1022
C.Lamasney	1019
Newton	1000
Sopito	1000
B.Morris	1000
Adkison	1000
HoSheridan	1000
Cordell	1000
Puz	974
Hainsworth	963
C.Glidden	956
Cyr	888
J.Olson	827
Cowan	808
	ı

Postal ratings are not too meaningful. You bounce up and down 200 points or so depending on how hard you try, or from the luck of the draw of opponents. A "B" player's best game can always beat an "A" player's careless or offhand effort. Some of the games in the "C" Division have more color, sparkle, drama, and derring-do than the carefully exact chess sometimes found in the export games.

Players who continue for several years will eventually find a class of opponents who play their kind of chess, and they make great friendships thru the message parts of the postcards, sometimes drive out of their way on vacations to meet a postal chess friend in what would otherwise be a strange city or town.

You study as you play, try out new openings and new ideas. For people too busy for over-the-board chess, it helps keep up skill and chess vision for later years.

RENEWALS 1279 R. Raymond NEW HEMBERSHIPS 1279 Laugen (\$3 a year) Weikel 1277 may be addressed 1264 Henry to the Treasurer,, 1256 Jensen S.J.Christopher Keating 1247 7016 N.E. 170th 1247 Donnell Bothell, Wash. Herk. 1246 98011 1240 R.Miller Second class mail Roskarı 1237 is not forwarded.

If you do not not-

ify us of address changes you lose the copy ilorgan

Hurley

1234

1208

Northwest Chess Letter 522 16th Avenue East Scattle, Wash. 98102 Return Postage Guaranteed



Myron Miller 7/76