northwest Chessa Etter



ENGLAND CASTLE

Sej Tamber 1965

NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER Number 214 September 1965

Editor-Publisher Richard Parsons 522 16th Ave. E. EA 58568 Seattle 98102

Circulation & Postal R. R. Merk 1026 N.E. 71st St. LA 22964 Seattle 98105

Treasurer F. H. Weaver 501 Olympic Place AT 2-7704 Seattle 98119

Ratings Director F. M. Howard 5940 36th Ave. SW WE 21063 Seattle 6

Tournament Director MA 31219 Buz Eddy 504 Terry Ave., Apt 209 Seattle

(space requirements require omission of our other correspondents for this month)

(PRESIDENT'S MEMO Continued) from page 7

It might interest you to know that the '66 US Open may well be held in Seattle. Stephen Christopher, enthusiastic after playing in the US Open in Puerto Rico, winner of the class "B" championship at that event, has agreed to deposit with the USCF a certified check for around \$3,000 as indication of our sincerity. I have appointed Buz Eddy as chairman of the US Open committee, Christ—opher as Sec'y—Treas., and myself as the publicity director. The manhunt for helpers and money is on! Win or lose the NV is sure to benefit. So, hopefully I'll see you in Seattle for the US Open. Clark Harmon

TOURNAMENT SCHEDULE

Sept 4-6 Oregon Open
Sept 6 Treasure Valley Open
Sept 18-19 Northwest 30/30 Open
Oct 2-3 Russe de Guere Open
Oct 16-17 Peach Arch Open
Oct 22-24 Central California Open

Oct 30-31 Washington Woodpushers

Nov 10-13 Utah Open

Nov 13-14 Klamath Falls Open

Nov 27-28 Northwest Open

Dec 4 Western Idaho Open

27-28 Northwest Junior

Dec 31-Jan 2 N.W. Invitational (tenative)

Jan 8-9 Washington Open

If you want your tournaments advertised, then SEND IN YOUR MATERIAL FOR PUBLICATION!!!
Russe de Guere? Woodpushers? Klamath Falls?

1965 OREGON OPEN

Place: Oregonian Hostess House 1320 S.W. Broadway, Portland

When?: Sat Sept 4,2 Rounds Sun Sept 5,3 Rounds Mon Sept 6,2 Rounds

Fee?: \$5 Entry Fee, \$4 if not over 18

Registration: 9AM play starts 10AM SHARP:

Prizes: 1st \$ 100 2nd 25 3rd 10

Time Control: 45 moves in two hours.

T.D. : Buz Eddy

NORTHWEST 30 - 30

Place: Boeing II Cafeteria

When?: September 18-19

Fee? : Entry fee \$4 plus WCF membership

Registration: 9:30 AM Sept 18

Time Control: 30 moves in 30 minutes

T.D. : Buz Eddy

Type: 11 Round Swiss

Prizes: Cash

Defending Champ: Clark Harmon

The big chance for you to play in a tourney and not get too seat weary, and you get to play 11 games this time, bigger chance to win. Why not give it a try?

YOUR N.W.C.L. IS NOW \$3 A YEAR, ALMOST AS MUCH A DAY AT THE GOLF COURSE OR A DAY AT THE BOVILING ALLEYS, CHEAPER THAN TAKING THE FAMILY TO THE MOVIES! CHEER UP AND

J O I N ! ! ! LOOK FOR DIGGER AND BETTER THINGS IN N.W. CHESS WITH THE ADDED REVENUE, WE MIGHT EVIN START SHOWING A PROFIT!

DEADLINE

Material for the Oct issue due Sept 24.
VISIT THE SEATTLE CHESS CLUB!!

Dec

PEACH ARCH OPEN CHESS TOURNAMENT

Place: Convention Hall, Leopold Hotel

Bellingham, Wash.

Date: October 16,17 1965

Type: Six round Swiss System, Mediam-

Solkoff tie breaking.

Schedule: 1st Rd 10:00 AM Oct 16

2:30 PM 2nd Rd

3rd Rd 7:00 PM

4th Rd 8:00 AM Oct 17

5th Rd 12:30 PM

6th Rd 5:00 PM

All games played to a finish last day

Time Limit: 50 moves in 2 hours, games adjourned after 4 hrs of play.

No adjudication

25 moves in 1hr for the adjourned games.

Entry Fee: Seniors \$4.00 Junior #3.00 All entrants must be members of WCF

Prizes: 1st Trophy plus 45% of prize fund

2nd 25% of prize fund

3rd 15% of prize fund

Class A 5% of prize fund Class B 5% of prize fund

Class C 5% of prize fund

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA OPEN & CUALIFYING TOURNAMENT

When?: October 22-24 1965

Where? : YWCA 1122 17th St, Sacramento.

Type? : 5 round Swiss

Prizes: \$300 minimum guaranteed prize fund with upset awards and prizes to Class A. B, C, and D. Highest finishing Cal man will qualify for State tournament.

Fee: Open to all who are or who become a USCF member. \$10 if USCF rating 1900 or over, \$7 is less than 1900. Non-USCF members get in for \$ 9.50 including USCF membership

Entrants living outside of Cacramento and Yolo counties and unable to reach Sacramento in time for Rd 1 8 PM Friday, Oct 22 can arrange to play the first round in advance. Contact: Lt. Colonel E.B. Edmondson. 210 Britton Way, Mather AFB Cal.

1965 UTAH OPEN

Place: YMCA Chess Club 737 E. 2nd South

Salt Lake City, Utah

Type: Seven round Swiss system tournament

open to all comers.

Round VII

Banquet

Schedule: Registration Nov 10 7-7:45 PM Round I 8:00 PM 10 Round II 9:00 MA 11 Round III PM11 3:00 Round IV 12 9:00 AMRound V 12 3:00 PM Round VI 13 8:00 AMPM

Entry Fee: \$8.50 plus USCF membership Juniors (under 19) \$6.50 plus

USCF membership

\$2.00 refund if banquet is not attended \$2.00 per person for additional banquet guests.

13

2:00

13 8:00

PM

Prizes: Trophies for highest placing resident, highest placing non-resident Class $\mathbb B_{\bullet}$ Class C, and Unrated. All prize money will be distributed on a plus score basis depending on the number of entrants.

Pairings: A modified Harkness system

Time Limit: 50 moves in 21 hours, 20 moves per hour thereafter. No adjournments.

Ties: Median, Solkoff, Sonnenborn-Berger will be used to break ties in that

Director: Harold Lungstrom, Chess Editor of the Deseret News:

Advance Registration and Inquiries: Dennis D. Hansen 798 East 8080 South Sandy, Utah 84070

Sorry, no information received on the other tournaments listed in the TNY SCHEDULE

N.W. POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP \$100 \$100

This event is well underway and if you want to get in on the ground floor of a new N.W. event then send your \$2.50 to : Clark Harmon 304 Garden St. Renton, Wn.

MACSKASY-PULLEN BAIRIRDITT TAG FORTON

Dr. ELOD MACSKASY, of Vancouver, B. C. won the 1965 Seattle Seafair Open with five wins and one loss. He defeated JOSEPH TOTH, of Seattle, WILLY BRANDAL, PETER O'GORMAN, KFNT PULLEN, all of Seattle, FRANK MAY, of London, and lost his final round encounter to VIKTORS PUPOIS, of Seattle. Pullen also posted five wins to share top money, losing only to Macskasy. Pupols took clear third with a $4\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$ score.

Fifty players appeared for the 6 round event played Aug. 7 - 8 on the food circus balcony on the Seattle World's Fair grounds.

JOSEPH TOTH took first class "A" honors with a 4 - 2 result; WILLIAM: BLACKMORE, of Bellingham, topped the class "B" players also with a 4 - 2, and ROBERT LUNDIN, of Issaquah, won his two man contest with Zack Wester for first class "C" with a $2\frac{1}{2}-3\frac{1}{2}$.

The USCF section was studded with upsets. The first round saw John Walker win from Jerry Wolfe. Round two Lou Fesl over Colin Aykroyd, and Milliam Blackmore topple Jim McCormick. Doug Adams won from W.Brandal in the third round. Peter O'Gorman won from Pupols and Adams downed Aykroyd in round 5.

Summary of the 1965 SEATTLE SEAFAIR OPEN USCF Section

Player	Home	-1-	_2_	-3-	-4-1	-5-1	6-	Score	Med.	Solk.
1. Dr. Elod Macskagy 2. Kent Pullen	Vancouver BC Seattle	₩5. ₩6	M30	W4 W14	₩2 L1	W7 W8	L3 W4	5 – 1 5 – 1	16 14	
3. Viktors Pupols	Seattle	MIc	D7	W16	Wll	L4	Wı	4 1 -11		
4. Peter O'Gorman 5. Josephi'Toth 6. William Blackmore	Seattle Seattle Bellingham	W22 L1 L2	W11 D15 W2]	L1 W22 D8	W10 D8 W17	W3 W17 D\$	L2 W7° W14	4 - 2 4 - 2 4 - 2	16 12½ 12	
7. Frank May 8. Robert Holzinger 9. John Walker 10. Doug Adams	London Seattle Bothell Spokane	W10 W17 W14 L7	D3 D9 D8 W17	W9 D6 L7 W12	W13 D5 W16 L4	L1 L2 D6 W13	L5 W15 D10 D9	$ 3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2} $	15½ 15 13 12	
11. Matt Kenney 12. Willy Brandal 13. Colin Aykroyd	Richland Seattle Vancouver BC	W18 W15 W20	L4 L1 L16	W20 L10 W19	L3 LF18 L7	L5 W19 L10	W19 W17 W18	3 - 3 3 - 3 3 - 3	11 9 8½	
14. Jerry Wolfe 15. Robert Lundin	Troutdale Issaquah	Lc L12	W22 D5	L2 Dl8	D15 D14	W18 W16	L6 L8	$\begin{array}{c} 2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$11\frac{1}{2}$ $11\frac{1}{2}$	18 17
16. Lou Fesl 17. Peter Hashisaki 18. Zack Wester	Marysville Bellingham Seattle	D21 L8 L11	₩13 L10 D1¢	L3 Bye D15	LC . L6 . WF12	₩20	D20 L12 L13	2 - 4 2 - 4 2 - 4	11½ 11 0½	
19. Dr. Jan Roskam	Bellevue	L3	D18	L13	W20	L12	Lll	12-42		:
20. Mike Farkas 21. James McCormick	Seattle Seattle	L13 D16	L2	Lll F	L1¢ F	L17 F	D16 F	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	C.1/2/2	
22. John Pericich	Tacoma	L4·	L14	L5	F ,	F	F	0 - 6		

WIN 1965 SEAFAIR TOP RESERVE SECTION

Round six saw Blackmore win from Jerry Wolfe, and Joseph Toth down former B.C. expert F. May, now of London.

JOHN GARROTT, of Kent, posted a 5 - 1 score to win the reserve section. He edged out JOHN ENG, of Seattle, and DAVE FULTON, of Tacoma on tie-breaking.

PETFR J. OLSON, of Seattle, took clear fourth place with a $4\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$ finish, and won top honors in the "C and unrated" division.

The asterisk on the cross-table below was added at the suggestion of Dr. Lewis.It will be maintained in the future to denote technical wins such as time-forfeiture, or as in the case below, failure to record.

Most improvement in the reserve section was shown by CHARLES HAGY, of Spokane. Hagy finished the recent Inland Empire Open with a 0-6 score.

T.C. was 45 in 2. T.D. was Buz Eddy.

Reserve Section

Player	Home	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-	Score	Med.	Solk
1. John Garrott 2. John Eng 3. Dave Fulton	Kent Seattle Tacoma	W12 W17 W24	W22. ₩4 W13	W7 D3 D2	W5 W16 D9	D2 D1 W11	D4 W10 W5	5 - 1 5 - 1 5 - 1	15 15 14	23½ 22
4. Peter J. Olson	Seattle	W20	L2	W13	WF22	W7	D1	$4\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$		
5. Eddie Sonier 6. Lewis Richardson	Seattle Camas	W1°	W10 W27	W8 D10	MJc*	WC W20	L3 Dç	4 - 2 4 - 2	15½ 10	
7. Pat Herbers 8. Lauren Owens 9. Tapani Pitkanen 10. Fred M. Howard 11. Alan LaVergne 12. John Shoemaker	Spokane Seattle Seattle Seattlc Seattle Tacoma	W21 W27 D16 W25 W23 L1	W15 W11 W21 L5 L8 L14	L1 L5 W1C D6 W25 W26	W8 L7 D3 W26 W14 W23	L4 D14 L5. W16 L3	D14 W16 D6 L2 D12 D11	3½-2½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-½-	13½ 13½ 13 13 12½	20½ 20 1°½ 18½
13. Ken Dart 14. ∜illiam Olson 15. Charles Hagy	Fverett Seattle Spokane	W14 L13 W6	L3 ₩12 L7	L4 W17 L16	W15 L11 L13	L12 D8 W23	₩21 D7 ₩20	3 - 3 3 - 3	13½ 13½ 11	20 19
16. Dave Rupel 17. Edward Bosse 18. Dennis Corpus	Yakima Tacoma Seattle	D9 12 W26	W18 L25 L16	W15 L14 D24	L2 WF27 WF25	L10 W19	Lg D23 F	$\begin{array}{c} 2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} \\ 2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	$13\frac{1}{2}$ $8\frac{1}{2}$ 3	
19. Dr. A. E. Lewis 20. J. A. McCharles 21. Richard Raymond 22. John Ward	Seattle Burnaby BC Seattle Tacoma	L5 L4 L7 W28	M28 Tc T73.	Lç W27 Bye W23	L6* W21 L20 LF4	L17 L6 W26 F	WF26 L15 L13 F	2 - 4 2 - 4 2 - 4 2 - 4	$ \begin{array}{ c c } 11\frac{1}{2} \\ 10 \\ 9 \\ 3\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	j er
23. Dick Dorwin 24. Ronald Johnson	Seattle Seattle	L11	W20 W26	L22 D18	L12 F	L15 F	D17	$\begin{array}{c c} 1\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	12 3½	
25. Ken Wainey	Seattle	L10	W17	Lll	LF18	F	F	1 - 5		
26. Alan Long 27. Mart Howe 28. Christopher Ritter	Renton Seattle Bellevue	F8 F18	L24 L6 L15	L12 L20 F	L10 LF17 F	L21 F	F	0 - 6 0 - 6 0 - 6	$ \begin{array}{c c} 11 \\ 5\frac{1}{2} \\ 1\frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	

TOURNAMENT NORTHVVEST BY BUZ EDDY

As you may already be aware the is preparing a bid for the 1966 U. S. OPEN. The preliminary ground work is being done this month, and the formal bid will be presented to the USCF sometime during the middle of next month. We are hoping to concurrent with the Seattle Seafair in order to gain greater outside attraction. The one basic factor necessary before we present our bid, is the assurance of northwest player support. Therefore we like to give the reader a general picture of the U.S. OPEN. Twelve or thirteen rounds comprise the event, with usually one round a day, in the evening; and adjournments on the following afternoon. Time control is 50 moves in $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours.

Your writer has attended only one US OPFN (in 1°58), and finds that this was easily the most memorable and most enjoyable experience of his chess career. In discussing this with others who have been fortunate enough to have been able to attend such events, there is a general agreement that this tournament presents tournament chess in its finest form.

If any northwest player can foresee now that he would able to take partin such an event in the summer of 1966, we would sincerely appreciate a card of encouragement to that effect.

* * * *

Returning to the present tournament scene, we would like to thank KEN DART for some rather pointed observations regarding the prize awarding systems now employed by the W.O.F. Mr. Dart feels that it is not in the best interest of chess promotion to offer a reserve section that attracts half or more of the participants of an event and then award less than a third of the prize fund within that section.

This has been a problem to organizers since the inception of reserve sections in the northwest several years ago, and as yet

no truly equitable formula has been devised.

To split the prize money evenly between the sections, is tenamount to placing a premium on mediocraty. One could easily visulize a travesty in organized chess with solid class "A" and expert players pitching match after match to gain a published rating below the magic 1800.

To utilize the Tacoma system of organizing the sections as virtually amateur and professional, with cash awards in the open section only, and a fine set of trophies in the reserve is more palatable to the organizer, but does not meet Mr. Dart's objection that all tournament players are professional in a sense.

Our personal feeling on this matter is that there are two aspects to tournaments. First a tournament provides an opportunity for players to play the game of chess. cond a tournament provides an opportunity to compete at the sport of chess. We feel that those that register for a reserve section do not wish to, or are not interested in . competing in chess as a sport. We certainly do not wish to belittle the reserve section in this respect, but we feel that it is essential to continue to provide clear incentive for improvement, in order to promote the quality of chess competition. To this end we will continue to award the majority of the available prize fund to the open section.

* * * *

A little over a year ago there appeared within these pages an article entitled "The Buz Eddy rule" which explained a regulation we felt necessary to competitive chess. Simply stated it read, "a player must record his last move and the last move of his opponent before making his next move." This has been in effect in all WCF tournaments since the 1964 Seafair, and has met with the general approval of competitive players. For those not familier with this regulation, we would like to point out the reasons behind it.

We feel that tournament chess competition is composed of two integral parts. First the over-the-board play of the game, and second compliance with the regulation of making a required number of moves in a specified amount of time. Therefore, in making a move in competition a player is required to do three things; make the move on the board, punch the clock, and record the move. This is all part of chess competition.

I recently received a letter from Greg Kern of Portland, spokesman for the Oregon Chess Committee. Here is my reply, essentially un-

changed.

Your letter brought up some pertinent questions. Questions that have been discussed by the WCF officers. "Why WCF when we have USCF?" Your rebuttal to this query is good, but it does not present any positive aspect in comparing the WCF with the USCF. The WCF through the NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER is local in origin and control and is based in Seattle, not in New York. This is a most important fact. Because of this we can devote all 22 pages to chess in the NM... the USCF, at least in the forseeable future, will not be able to provide 22 pages of NW news.

The second question, "Is the NWCL really here to stay, and does it really represent NW chess?", is more difficult to answer. The 'Letter' has been in existance almost 18 yrs under one name or another, and if the present officers have a say, it will be here another 18 years. Our financial position, while not excellent, is the best ever; and we have shown a very nice growth curve. The curve is directly related to the growth curve of NW chess, which is up! The main difficulty is members and working officers...hopefully this will be

remedied before too many moons pass.

We certainly want to represent MY chess but how can this be done if no one outside the Puget Sound area sends us information and ideas? It takes a great amount of time and work to publish the NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER ... work and time that should not have to be spent on hurriedly inserting last minute news from organizers around the Northwest. We have more information of Puget Sound interest be cause we are in direct contact with the or ganizers. Therefore, we can give them the needle... get your news in on time! (Amen. Ed.) No one likes to read about an event that happened three months ago! Too, in the past and now, to a certain extent, more things happen in the Puget Sound area. Or at least it seems that way. If we were to allocate 5 pages to each B.C., Oregon, Idaho, and Washington size would run about 8 pages a month... present trends continued. We realize that coverage for the other areas in the NW should be enlarged, and we are trying to do something about it. I write letters, Parsons writes letters, Buz roams the NV digging up news, and the MORTH EST CHESS LETTER makes pleas...but no huge response. I would say to detracters .. send us news, we will print it!

As for the annual meeting, it is unfor tunate that it has not been well publicized in the past. It will be in the future. Un fortunately, however, many people just don't understand the workings of the WCF. We have a constitution that dedicates the organization to the improvement and spread of chess, primarily in the State of Washington. In fact, the WCF through its organ, the NVCL, strives for the improvement of chess in the entire NW. A fact that I plan to continue. However, be fore any grandiose plans can be developed by the WCF (I should mention that the WCF is concerned mainly with Washington chess, while paradoxically its organ attempts to serve the entire MV), we have to clean up our own back yard. We have many areas in our own state which for all intent and purposes play no part in the WCF, whether it be election of officers or determination of policy. You must realize that the WCF and WASHINGTON CHESS LETTER was founded by Seattle money and organizers. For this reason we have been very reluctant to relinquish control of the investment. We have paid for two sets of machines in the past 18 years, and have enjoyed solvency for only the last 2 or 3. Equipment that would cost well in excess of \$500 to replace is what we worry about. For these reasons it has been operated by what you might call a Puget Sound chess elique for the past 18 years. Rightfully so, I feel.

Policy has a habit of costing money. At this present point we cannot afford too much 'policy and as a legally foderated body within the State of Washington and by rights granted by our constitution, we could exclude all non-Washington residents from policy determination.

We are not a gigantic egre, though. I assure you of that. Also, as our financial position improves so will our service to the MV and Washington. We attempt to operate for the benefit of all the NW, not for the benefit of Puget Sound interests.

Not too long ago I lived in Portland and v viewed the WCF and Wash. Chess Letter from without, now I see it from within. What a difference! So, be patient with us. We're improving. Perhaps sometime in the future we may see the NORTHWEST CHESS LETTER published jointly by the Wash. Chess Fed., the Oregon Chess Fed. and the Idaho Chess Fed. I feel before this could happen a nearly similar amount of money would have to be put up by Oregon and Idaho as is now currently invested by the WCF.

(continued on page 2)

YANOFSKY

Grandmaster D.A. Yanofsky of Winnipeg with an unblemished record of nine wins and two draws captured the Fifty-Sixth Canadian Championship held at International House on the U.B.C. campus, Vancouver from June 21 to. July 4. This was Yanofsky's eight win which tied the record established by Maurice Fox of Montreal. His consistent, steady playing augurs well for his chances in the International Tournament of Grandmasters (Capablanca Memorial) which will be held in Havana in September. At no time was Yanofsky in any real danger although he managed to secure full points from a number of clearly equal positions (notably against Kaltenecker and Kerr) from stage-struck opponents. Against his immediate rivals, only a time-pressure slip allowed Macskasy an amusing swindle when the latter was four pawns down; a timely counterattack demolished Suttles before Duncan's king-side "express" was barely out of the station; and Lionel Joyner's unaccountable passive play precipitated a speedy collapse.

Aside from the above lapse, Joyner con firmed the fine impression he made when he
won the Canadian Closed in 1961. His best
effort was a fine defensive performance against the impetuous Suttles who gambited two
pawns in the opening; Joyner gave up the exchange to stifle an attack and his conduct
of the ending was ruthless. Joyner also de feated his personal nemisis, Dr. Macskasy,
for the first time, while only Potter could
obtain a creditable draw as the lower half of
the table fell before Joyner's sharp, elegant

play.

Suttle's six straight wins at the beginning of the tournament were a rather superficial indication of his ultimate standing. His debacles with the White pieces against Yanofsky and Joyner and a number of narrow escapes with the "RAT" Opening (1...P-KN3) confirmed the impression that Duncan will have to become more flexible if he wants to make a mark in topflight competition. Certainly third place is no disgrace for a young player although his admirers would have liked to see the old idols toppled from their pedestals. The U.S. Open in Puerto Rico and the World Junior Championship in Barcelona may be more convincing tests of his skill as the calibre of opposition was quite uneven in this event.

Mark Schulman of Winnipeg tied with Dr. Macskasy for fourth and fifth. He played steady but uninspired chess (except in time trouble) and fully deserved his place. Dr.

ACAIN

Macskasy was possibly unlucky to lose two games against Vaitonis and Leonard as his positional gifts are quite unimpaired; however, his former steadiness in time pressure and tactical sharpness were not so evident as in the past which may account for his relatively low placing. Ray Kerr of Powell River played consistent chess but was inclined to give draws too easily in superior positions. His score was matched by Paul Vaitonis, a former Lithuanian master who won the Canadian title in Vancouver in 1951 and 1957; a thirty years' experience in international events and an extraordinary resourcefulness when short of time were all that saved him from a disastrous result. By contrast. Brian Potter of Vancouver played extremely high class chess, but lost his last round encounter with Schulman to finish just below Kerr and Vaitonis.

The bottom four players were generally outclassed by the bigger guns, but both Veszely and Kaltenecker put up protracted resistance against Yanofsky while Leonard's defeat of Macskasy was the biggest upset of the tournament.

The tournament was directed by C. Aykroyd and A.J. van Lieshout, the Canadian rating statistician who has recently moved to Vancouver. There were no untoward incidents during the play and the tournament was pleasantly concluded with a banquet for the players and organizers presided over by J. F. Prentice, president of the Canadian Chess Federation and FIDE Vice-President.

Bisguier Simultaneous
Grandmaster A. Bisguier of N.Y. concluded
his westcoast tour with a 25 board simultaneous exhibition at the City C.C. on July
13. Play lasted 3½ hours with Bisguier
yielding 3 draws and 2 losses to F.May and
C. Aykroyd. Bisguier also lost to R. Gates,
formerly of Vancouver, in an exhibition held
in L.A. earlier this month.
Canadian Speed Championship

Held concurrently with the Canadian Closed Championship, the Canadian Speed Champion - ship was won by Duncan Suttles who defeated Dr. E. Macskasy in a two game playoff after they had tied in the regular schedule. They divided \$40 and Suttles took the trophy. W. Jursevskis, former B.C. provincial champion and G. Rostoker, tied for 3rd and 4th places.

The above story was sent in by our <u>Canadian correspondent C. Aykroyd</u>, as well as the following annotated games.

56th CANADIAN CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP GAMES

D.Suttles-H	P-K4	2.N-QB3	N-KB3
3.P-KN3 5.N/1-K2	B - N5 P - B3	4.B -N 2 6.P - Q4	-00 PQ3
7.0-0	Q-K2	8 P-QR3	B - R4
9 P-QN4	B - B2	10.B-N2	B - N5
11.P-R3	B-KR4	12.0-03	QN-Q2
13.P-N4	B-KN3	14.P-B4	P-KR3
15.QPxP	$P_{\mathbf{x}}P$	16.P - B5	B - R2
17.N-Q1	KR-Ql	18.Q-QN3	N - N3
19.N-B2	R - Q2	20.B - Bl	N - KI
21.B-K3	K-Al	22.N-Q3	R-N1
23.N-B5	R/2-Q1	24 • P-QR4	B → Nl
25.P-R5	N-B1	26.KR_B1	B -Q3
27 • N-Q3	P-B3	28 • P-B4	P-QN4
29 PxPep	FxP	30 • Q B3	Q-N2
31-R-R3	B-B1	32 •N-N3	RxN
33.QxR 35.R/1_R1?	BxNP N/K - Q3	34 • R • R • R • R • R • R • R • R • R •	P-B4
37 RxR	QxR	36.R-QBl 38.B-Bl	R-R1? Q-R3?
39.P-R4	Q -R6	40.P-N5	QxQ
41.BxQ	BPxP	42 PxP	N - K2
43 P-N6	N-B3	44 • N-R5	N-R4
45 P-B6	PxP	46 BxP	N-KI
47.R-B1	NxP	48.BxN	BxB
49 RxP!	Resigns	• •	

E.Macskas		UPSET GAME	
			מוג ת
	P-KN3	•	B-N2
		4.0-0	N-QB3
		6.QN - Q2	R-Nl
		8.P-B3	N B3
9.P - K4		10.N-R4	PK4
11.N-B4	B - K3	12.B-N5	P R3
13.B-Q2		14.PxN	$\mathtt{B}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathtt{N}$
15.R-Kl	Q-N3	16.P-QN4	PxP
17.B-K3		$18 \cdot PxP$	N _Q 5
		20.P - B4	Q - K2
		22 R-R1	Q - B3
		24 N-B3?	~_ DO
		xN PxB 25.P-	R5 and if
	-N4 then		DO SIIC II
100000	2.1 011011	24	NxNch
25.BxN	KR-Q1		
			Q-KI
27.Q-Q2	P-Q4!		P - K5
29.B-B5		30 .Q-Kn 2	
31-RxQch	RxR	32.QxP	R-K8ch
33.K-B2?			
33 K-N2	S also los	ses	
3 3	R-B8ch	34 K-N2	RxQ
35.KxR	K - R2	36 RxP	R-06ch

White resigned on 40.

37.K-K4

BxR

JAMES

	, 1.P-K4	-D. Suttles P-KN3 P-QB4	2.P-Q4 4.P-B3	Opening! B-N2
	Rather reliabl	ambitious,	4.N-KB3 see	
1	- D D	T. 0.	4	PxP
ł	5.FxP	P=Q3	6.N-QB3	N-QB3
ŀ	7.B-K3	Q-N3	8.B-N5	N - B3
	9.P-KR3?	1.2.		
	Loss of	time		
	9		10.Q-Q2?	
	TO BXN	was prefera		
	זאזא רר	0.5	10	
	ll.NxN	QxB	12.N-K2	N - N5
	13.0-0	В-КЗ	14.P-R3	ୟ − ୟ6 !
	15	NHO	16.KR_N1	
	17.II/2_B3	B-N6	18.R-KBl	P-B4
	T9 • 11 - 75	NXP	20.QR-NI	RxN
		B <u>-</u> Q4	22 • R-N5	B - B3
	Resigns.	. If 23.R-N	73 RxB 24 RxF	R BxQP
ł		·		
	D. Suttles.			M CDZ
			2.N-QB3	
١	3 • P – KN4		4 • B • N2	P-KN3
l	5.P-03	D-NX	6 • PKB4	
	7 • P-B5	P-KN4	8.P-KR4	P-KR3
I	9.PxP	KLXI	10.RxR	BxR
١	11.KN-B3	P-35		Q - Q2
┨	13.N-Q5	U-RZ	14.P-B3	R-N1
	15.B-K3	B-NZ	16.Q-N3	B - B1
١	17.R-R1 19.K-N3	M-NX	18 • RR5	N - R3
	T9•V-N2	N-DZ:	20.R-R7:	Re s igns.
ı	F. Veszely	-D. Suttles	3	
ļ	1.P-()B4	P-KN3	2.N-QB3	B-N2
I	3.P-KN3	P - K4	4 B-N2	N-QB3
	5.P-K3	P - K4 P-Q3	6 KN-K2	N-KR3
	7.P-Q4	0-0	8.0-0	P - KB4
	9.P-KR3?	P-B5	10.KPxP	NxQP
ı	ll_NxN	PxN	12 • N-K4	K-Rl:
ı	13.P-QN4	P-Q4!	14 PxP	()xP
	15.B-N2	KR-Ql	16 • P-KN4	QR-QNI
I	17.R-Kl	P-QN3	18.P-N5?	N-B4
I	19.N-B6	Q - B2	20.N-N4	P-QB4
	21.QR_B1	QxP	22 · Q - Q2?	T (1)-E
		was better	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
			22	C-N6
	23.N-K5	B-N2	24.PxP?	PxP
	25.RxP	BxP	26.KxB	N-R5ch!
	27.K-Bl	QxPch	28.K-K2	ExN
	29.RxB	N-B6	30 R-K3	NxQ
	31.Rx()	RxB	32.P-B5	R-Klch
	33.Resigns	•		1111011
		~~~ = =	~ II * * *	(5)100
	INWP	OSTAL	, CHAN	1 P \$ [UU

R. Leonard-		D		39 R-R2	N-K6ch n on the 4	40.K-R3	N-D4
1.P-Q4	N-KB3	2.P-QB4	P-K3	DLack WD	n on the 4	Sur move.	
3.P-KN3	P-QH4	4.P-Q5 6.N-QB3	PxP P-KN3	D. Suttles-	D.A. Yanof	skv	
5.PxP 7.P-K4?	P-Q3 B-N2	8.N-B3	0 <b>-</b> 0	1.P-K4	P-(JB3	2 • P-Q4	P=04
9.B-N2	R-Kl	10.KN-Q2	P-QN4!	3.N-QB3	PxP	4.NxP	N-(12
ll.NxP	B-R3	12.Q-N3	NxP	5.B-QB4	KN_B3	6.N-N5	P-K3
13.0-0	$N \times N$	14.BxN	Q <b>-</b> N3	7.Q-K2	N-N3 P-B4	8.B-N3	P <b>-</b> KR3 B <b>-</b> 3
15.P-QR4	N-Q2	16.KR-K1	N-K4	9.N/N5-B3 11.N-K5	0 <b>-</b> 0	10.B-KB4	D <b></b> .30
17.B-KB1	QR-Nl	18.Q-R3	B-N2	-		-K5 0-0 12.K	N-B3 P-QR4
19。P-R5 21。Q-KB3	Q <b>-</b> (¿1 Q <b>-</b> Q2	20.P-B4 22.N-B3	N <b>-</b> N5 B <b>-</b> Q5 <b>c</b> h			ollowed by 1	
23.K-N2	RxR	24.RxR	B <b>-</b> Bl			B-N2 with	
25 P-R3	RxP	26.PxN	RxBch			y-Yanofsky, there could	
27.K-Rl	QxP	28.B-K2	Q-R6mate			• xN B-Q2 fo	
						k on the Whi	
D. Yanofsky			M : Da			12.KN-B3 14.B-B1	PxP C-R4ch
1.P-K4	P⊷K4	2.N-KB3	N-QB3	13.R-01 15.K-Bl	N/N3-Q4 P-QN4	14.B-B1 16.RxP	∵—R4 <b>c</b> n B <b>—</b> R3
	P=QR3 B=Q2	4.B-R4 6.P-Q4	P-Q3 P-KN3	17.K-N1?	T and STAFF	TO •ICVI	D-1(0
7.B-KN5	B-K2?	8.B-K3	N-B3		3 is much b	etter and ma	av give
9 _a BB2	0-0	10.P-KR3	PxP		he better g		<b>2</b> 0
ll.PxB	N-QN5	.12.N-B3	NxBch	17	PN5	18.Q-Kl	Q <b>_</b> B2 _
13.QxN	PB3	14.0-0	P-Q4	19.P-KR4?	T-D		
15.PxP	NxP	16.NxN	PxN	19.K⊸K4 weaknes	was White'	s best chand and Ql begin	ce; now his
17.B-R6	R-Kl	18.Q-N3	B <b>-K</b> B4	19	B <b>-</b> B4	20.R-Q1	QR-Q1
19.QxNP 21.N <b>K</b> 5	R-QNL R-N3	20.(xRP 22.(-R7!	RxP P-N4	21.R-R2	N-K5!!	4	<b>4</b>
23°b-B4:		OBILI) 24 PxF		Of cour	se if QxN N		
25 NxB	P-B4		PxN	07 5 5		22 . P-N4	N/()4 <b>-</b> B3
27 B-N5	BxB		QxR	23 • RxR	RxR	24 B-K3	BxB
29 QxR	Q-K6ch		BxP	25.FxB The end	Q <b></b> B4	26.P-N5	N-(:4!
ZL.Q.Q8ch	K-N2	32 <u>.</u> 0-07ch	_	27.FxP	NxKP	28.P-R7ch	K <b>x</b> ₽
Black at	this poi	nt lost on t	ime.	29.N-N5ch	K-Nl	30.NxN	(LXI)
D. Suttles-	T Towner			31.0xN	R-(.8ch	32.K-N2	B <b>-</b> B8ch
l.P.~K4	.ь. обунег Р <b>-</b> КЗ	2.P-04	P=04	33 • K-B3	(xR	34.N-N5	Q-N7ch
3 P-K5	P-084	4.P-0B3	•	35.K-B4	R-Q7	36 .BxP	R-B7ch
5.N-KB3	QN3			37.K-K5	R-K7	Resigns	
7.PxP	B-02	<b>₿∘0-</b> 0	$N_{\mathbf{x}}QP$	Dr. E. Hac:	skasv-D. A.	Yanofakar	
9.NxN	-	10.N-B3	CxKP	1.P-K4	P <b>-</b> QB3	2.P-C4	F-Q4
ll.R-Kl		12.NN5	BxN	3.P-K5	B <b>-</b> B4	4.P-KN4	D <b>-N3</b>
13.BxBch	K-Ql	14.B-Q2?!	N <b>-</b> B3	5.P-KR4	P-KR4	6.N <b>-</b> KR3?	P-K3?:
15.Q <b>-</b> R4	seems bet				6PxP!	0 D-D	די וער
15	Q-NI	16.B-R5ch	P-N3	7.N-D4 9.R-R2	N-K2 P-B4	8.PxP 10.P-KD3	B <b></b> K5 B <b></b> B4
17 cB-B6	B-Q3	18.BxR		11.P-B3	QN-D3	12.N-R3?	P <b>-</b> R3
18.BxNPc	h may giv	re more chanc	es	13.B-K3	N-Bl	14.B-Q3	I!/1-K2:
		18 ,	FxB	15,B-KB1	PxP	16.PxP	U-Dl
19.B-B6	BxPch	20.K-Rl	B-B2!	17.B-43	B <b>-</b> 1:5 <b>c</b> h	18.K <u>-</u> B1	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{B}$
21 "R~K2 23 "QxQ	P=R4 FxQ	22 .R-Q1 24 .R-B2	Ç-N5 B-N3	19.(xB	N-1-K2	20.11-D2	II <b>-</b> D4
25 R/1-QB1	K~K2	26.B-N5	N-K5	21.NxB 23.R-Bl	NxiI Q <b>-</b> N4ch	22113 24 .K-N1	(; <b></b> R4 N <b>-</b> B3
27 P.B3	N-Q3	28.B-R6	P-N4	25.B-B2	ÇxG	26.PxQ	KExP
29,P-R4	PxPep	30.PxF	R <b>_</b> R3	27.BxII	NxB	28 R-B3	K-02
31,P-R4	R-B3	32.R-B7ch	K-Ql	29.R-N2	N <b>-</b> B4	30 <b>.</b> N⊷(¿3	P=QN3
33 "R/7-B6	K=02	34 • P-R5	BxP	31.R-M4	RxP	32 .R-QN4	NxRP
35.BK2 37.PN3	B-N3	36.R/7-B2	R_D5	33.RxP Careles	NxPch		
O/cI~NO	R⊸?N5	38.K—N2	N-B4	l careres	0.		

34.K-B2 NxP 35.N-N7ch K-K1 36:NxN R-B4ch if RxN 37.R-R3 37.K-N2 K-B1 38.R-R3 38.R/B3-B7 forces an immediate draw. 38 K-N1 39.N-N4 R-N4 40.K-B3 P-K4 41.N-K3 R-Q1 42.R-QR7 Not NxP; P-K5ch!-better than this sealed move was 42.P-N4 P-Q5 43.N-B4 P-B3 44. R-R2 P-Q6 45.K-K3 R-Q5 46.P-N3 R-N6ch 47.K-Q2 P-K5 48.R-KB2 with a probable draw.	and Black failed to make the time control.  Perhaps I should put a ? after my 45th as 0-07 is better. However, 0-06 was the first legal move that came to my head, and I made it. Because of the weakness of the Black pawns, I believe that White has a won game, but perhaps not.  (It is interesting to note here that Jim McCormick also thinks he has a won game as black. He was looking for the best move and claims he forgot all about the time control. Maybe some reader would like to do some analyzing!? The ed.)  Notes to the above by Blackmere.
42 P-E4 43.RxRP P-B5 44.N-N4 R-KB1 45.N-B2 P-K5ch? Better was 45R-N8! threatening 46 P-K5ch or P-N4 when Black should win. 46.NxP PxNch 47.KxP R-N4 48.R-QB6 R-N2 49.R/B6-B3 R-B3 50.K-B3 R-N5 51.R-R5 P-N3 52.R-QB5 R-N2 53.R-KB3 drawn.	William Blackmore - Kent Pullen  1.P-Q4 N-KB3 2.N-QB3 P-Q4  3.N-B3 P-KN3 4.B-B4 B-N2  5.N-QN5  Really-pretty silly doing this without developing first. I remembered that Capablanca here played P-K3 (Capablanca-Yates N.Y. 1924.) but I also remembered that Capa got not such a good game.  However, this was because he made a mistake on his next move. If 5.P-K3 0-0
### SEAFAIR GAMES  William Blackmore - James McCormick  1.P-Q4	now 6.B-Q3 (Alekhine) leaves White with an excellent game and development. B's fianchetto on the K-side would be un - natural. When I made my 5th, my only intention was to force him to develop his pieces awkwardly to meet the threats.  5. N-R3 · 6.Q-Q2?!  If 6.P-K3 P-B3 7.N-B3 N-B2 gives the position in the note above without Black having played P-B3 and N-B2 instead of having 0-0.  6. N-K5  7.Q-R5 P-N3 8.Q-R3 P-QB3  9.N-B3 N-B2 10.NxN PxN  11.N-K5 N-N4 12.Q-K3 QxP Perhaps it's trivial, but if 12.NxP 0-0-0 gives White plenty of compensation for the pawn.  13.QxQ NxQ 14.0-0-0 N-K3  15.B-N3  If 15.NxP? B-N2 wins of course.  15. P-QB4 16.P-K3 P-QR3  17.B-QB4 P-QN4  He made 'em, I don't explain 'em.
28 QxRch! 29.RxQ RxRch 30.N-Q1 P-B3(?) 31.N-B3 R-B1 32.P-N3 N-K6 Both sides were very short on time; I only made the limit by 4 seconds. Perhaps I should have offered him the draw. 33.Q-K2 NxN 34.NxN R/1-KN1 35.Q-K3? R/1-N7 36.Q-Q4 N-N5 37.K-N2 KxP 38.QxQP K-R4 39.Q-Q4 R-K8 40.N-B4 KxP 41.N-N1 K-N4 42.N-R3 R/8-K7 43.K-B3 N-K6 44.K-N4 P-N3	18.B-Q5 R-R2  19.N-B6  BxP is schmaltzy.  19 R-Q2(best)20.BxN%  Of course White has a draw if he wants it by N-N8, but better yet, he can double his Rs after this move and should win. (Keeps both Dlack rooks out of play.)  20 RxRch 21.RxR PxB 22.B-R4 B-N2 23.N-R5?

There is nothing wrong with NxP, and if B-KB1, 24.N-B8 or N-N8 and if 24...RxN? 25.R-Q8ch wins a piece. Neverless, W gets, back the missing pawn with advan tage in position.

23. B-04 24 N-N3 P-B5 2-5 N-B5 P-QR4 26 P-R4 K-B2 28.N-R6  $27 \cdot PxP$ R-QNIRxP

29 P-CD3 R-N2

> White has let his game degenerate, losing any piece of advantage he might have held.

30.B-N3 B-QB3 P-R5 31.R-08 32 N-N4 B-N4 33 R-N8? RxR $34 \cdot BxR$ P-R6 35 **PxP**?

KIN1 was better

351 . . BxP36 • K-B2 B-K8 37; B-N3 B-R5ch 38.K-N2 P-B6ch 39.4K-N1 40.P-B3  $K \longrightarrow K \perp$ B**-**Q7 41.B-K5 PxP42.PxP K-Q2 43 • P--K4 K-Bl 44.N-B2??

This loses: 45.N-R2! still draws. White didn't see this till next move when it was too late.

44. . . K-N2 45.B-04? K**-**B3 46.N-N4ch K-N4 ' 47.B-N7 ~K**∹**B5 48.B-B8 K-N6 -49.N-B2(forced)P-K4 50 BxP B-QN4 " 51.N-Rlch K---D5° 52 • P--KR4 B-R5 53 • B⊶B6 B-B5 ° 54 IN-B2 K**-**06 55 N-N4ch K-C7 B-B7ch 56 IN-R2 57.K-R1 B-N6! 58.N-N4 P-B7 and Black wins

Arthur Wang Ivars Dalbergs. ^ Ashland 1965

The last round critical game notes by the winner

P-K3 1.P-(4 N-KB3 2.P-QB4 4.F-QR3 B⊷N5 BxNch. 3 N-CB3  $5 \cdot PxB$ P-B4 6 P-B3 P-Q4 NxP' PxP 7 PxQP 814-43 9 PxP P-QN3 10.Q-N5ch 11.Q-N2

By his last two moves, instead of the customary 10.P-K4; White has forced Black's QB on the "wrong" diagonal and posted the Queen where it does not hinder the deployment of White's other pieces. Trouble is, the Queen does not hinder the deployment of Black's pieces either.

P**-**B4 12.N-R3 11. . . 0-0 13.B-N5 (¿-Kl 14.P-K4 PxP15.PxP P-KR3 16.B-42

The bishop is inconvenienced. 16.PxN FxB eventually leaves White's King exposed, 16.B-Bl is probably as bad as it looks. 16.BxP Q-N3! represents a fatal loss of time, and 16.B-R4 permits N-B5 as well as the speculative N-K6.

16. . . Q-N31

17.PxN Or 17.N-B2 N-(B3! 18.PxN PxP and White will have to let go of his extra piece as well as a pawn to escape a quick disaster, for example 19.P-N3 QR-K1 ch 20.B-K2 B-N5 21.NxB QxN 22.0-0-0 (22. B-B4 NxP) RxB

17. . . Q-K5ch 18.B-K2 Of course not 18.K-(1 B-R5ch 19.K-B1 R-Blch 20.B-B3 Q-K6ch

18. . . QxP

19.0-0-0 Overlooking the strongest defense 19. R-Bl. QxN 20 RxRch KxR-21 PxP BxP 22. 0-0-0 N-Q2 23.B-R6 K-N1 with chances

for counterplay. 19 . . . QxB20.PxPQ--Q6-21.B-B3 OxN22.P-C5!? After 22 PxB NxP Black keeps the in itiative as well as a pawn. The text move leads the game to a more spectacular conclusion.

22. . . R-Bl. .. 23.K-Q2 Q-N7ch . 24 K-K3 Q-N4ch. 25 **K**→K4

25.K-K2 is slightly better. 25. . . BxP26 PxP -N-R3

N**-**B4**c**h 27 KR-N1 28 K DBs C-B4ch 29.K-N2 N-0:6-30. R-KB1

Why not? 30.Q-Q2 loses at least a piece after N-B5ch 31.K-R1 (.-K5ch 32.R-N2 RxB or 31.K-N3 RxBch .

·30. . . K**5c**hسي. 31.K-R3 QxPch 32 K-R4 R-B5ch 33 • K-R5 R-Bach 34 K-R4 P-N4ch -Resigns

Your editor is continually told about all. the games they are going to annotate, the stories they will write etc. but somehow I never get them for publication. Wr.Dalbergs answered my request with the best game from his next tournament win. Your contributions make the LETTER what it is. Do you have an interesting game you think others would like to see, maybe some in teresting analysis from a postal game?!

\$100 N W POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP \$100 sent \$2.50 to: Clark Harmon 304 Garden St. Renton, Wash.

PSL TEAMS SEND IN YOUR ENTRY FEE NOW!!!

### 1965 US OPEN

1965 U.S. Open at Puerto Rico. Notes by Stephen Christopher, Seattle Chess Club secretary and Class C First Prize winner.

Held 4000 miles from the Pacific Coast, the 1965 Open naturally was more Eastern than national. 60 Spanish-speaking Puerto Ricans and 10 French-speaking Eastern Canadians gave the tournament an international appearance. When announcements had to be translated into two languages, it began to resemble a United Nations assembly.

Although 25 states sent at least one player each, New York's 33 was equal to the total of 20 states. Only New Jersey, Illinois and California, with 7 each, Michigan, with 6, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, with 4, had large representation outside of New York.

Washington's Stephen Christopher, and the Pacific Northwest's other representative, Duncan Suttles, from British Columbia were the only ones from their home states, like the players from 18 other states.

Suttles' 9-3 score carned him \$250 for a third-fourth-fifth tie. He lost only to co-champion William Lombardy, who tied Pal Benko with 10-2. The two won \$800 each. Suttles might have won undisputed third place and \$100 more if he had not become ill just before his eighth round game which he drew.

Christopher's  $5\frac{1}{2}-6\frac{1}{2}$  gave him undisputed national Class C championship when his rival Mrs. Greta Fuchs, New York veteran, was held to a draw. Her young Puerto Rican Class B opponent knocked her out of a tie for the Class C prize by using bishops of opposite color to cancel her pawn advantage.

Christopher, meanwhile, was winning from a Class A player by pinning a knight on the long diagonal leading to the castled king on the 21st move of a Sicilian Defense. This was the only one of the twelve games with a king's pawn opening.

Black seemed to be the winning color in Christopher's games, three Nimzovich Defenses and the Sicilian accounting for 4 of his  $5\frac{1}{2}$  points. When he had white, he gained only  $1\frac{1}{2}$  points from his 6 queen's pawn openings.

A fifteen-year old U. of Puerto Rico student from Argentina became the gallery's favorite when he kept the initiative for 27 moves against U.S. tenth ranking master

Matthew Green, before getting a knight behind. When the game adjourned after both players had just made their 50 moves before the flags fell, few spectators gave him any chance to prevent defeat. Next morning, after 20 more moves, the potential South American Bobby Fischer had forced a draw. The game appears below:

1965 U. S. Open Round 4 White Julio Kaplan, Argentina age 15 Black Matthew Green, N.Y. master This draw kept Green (8-4) out of a prize. 1.P-K4 P-KN3 2.P-Q4 B-N2 **3.** N**-**QB3 P**--**Q3 4.B-K3 N-KB3 5 P-B3 **P-**B3 6.Q-Q2 P-KR4 7.KN-K2 QN-Q2Q**-**B2 0-0-0 10.N**-**Q3 9.N-B4 P-QN4 N**-**N3 N**--**B5 12.Q-B2 11.B-B4 0-0 RPxP13.P-K5 N-Kl 14.P-KN4 QPxP16•ΩPxP  $N_{\mathbf{x}}KP$ 15.P-KR4 Q**--**R4 17.Q-R2 NxNch 18.BxNP-N5 19.P-R5 P-N4 20.B-K5 P-B3 21.BxBKxB22.N-N5 23,QR-Kl Q**_**Q1 24.P-R6ch K-Rl All Black's pieces are back on their original rank! 26.Q-N6 P-KB4 25 • ର<del>-</del>R5 P**-**K3  $27 \cdot PxP$  $P_{\mathbf{X}}N$ 28.PxP Q**-**B3 29.BxP B-N230.KR-Bl N-B2 31.B**-**Q3 B-Q4 32.R-N1 PxP33.QxQch RxQ $34 \cdot RxP$ B**-**K3 35.R-Bl R-KNl 36.R-R5 N-K137.P-R7 R/1**-**B1 38.R-B4 P**-**R4 39.K-Q2 N**-**Q3 40.R-R1 B-04 41.R-Kl N-K5ch 42.K-Bl N**-**B4 43.R-K5 NxBch  $44.P_{X}N$ BxP45 RxRP B**-**K3 46.K-Q2 P-N6

When the game was adjourned at this point after five hours play, the Puerto Rican sympathizers shook their heads and said sadly to each other, "Too bad, he had a good game at first."

48.P-Q4

50.K-B3

RxP

RxP

R/3-B2

R**-**Q1

BxR

47.R-N5

49.P**-**Q5

51.RxR

	52 •RxP	R <b>-</b> B2ch
B <b>-</b> B2	54.R_KN5	K <b>-</b> R2
R <b>–</b> B7	56.K <b>-</b> R3	R <b>_</b> B3
R-KN3?	58.RxR	$K_{\mathbf{x}}R$
K <b>-</b> B4	60.K <b>-</b> Q2	B <b>–</b> Q4
B <b>–</b> K5	62 <b>.</b> K <b>.</b> -Q2	K <b>-</b> B5
K <b>–</b> K6	64.K <b>-</b> Ql	B <b>-</b> B6ch
B <b>-</b> K5	66.K-Ql	K <b>–</b> B7
B <b>_</b> N8	68.K <b>-</b> Bl	B <b>_</b> R7
K <b>-</b> B8	70.K <b>-</b> Ql	B-N8
	R-B7 R-KN3? K-B4 B-K5 K-K6 B-K5 B-N8	B-B2 54.R-KN5 R-B7 56.K-R3 R-KN3? 58.RxR K-B4 60.K-Q2 B-K5 62.K-Q2 K-K6 64.K-Q1 B-K5 66.K-Q1 B-N8 68.K-B1

B**-**B7 71.K-Q2

Now a "book" draw ( 7 hours ). A large photo of Kaplan being congratulated appeared on the sports page of the leading Puerto Rican newspaper. He won \$200 for his 8-4 score, the highest by a Puerto Rican.

Nominated for the brilliancy prize, the following game was played in the twelfth round between two Class A players. The win did not determine a prize winner, but it did give White a good deal of pleasure at his opponent's astonishment when he traded his queen for a rook on move 22, and she exclaimed, "Didn't you see the pawn guarding the rook? ".

1965 U. S. Open White Edmund Nash, Washington D.C. (6-6) Black Mrs. Nora Svabs, Puerto Rico (5-7) 2.N-KB3 N-QB3 1.P-K4 P-K4 4.B-R4 N**-**B3 3.B-N5 P-QR3 B-K2 6.R-Kl P-QN4 5.0-0 N-QR4 7.B-N3 P-Q3 8.P-B3 10.P-KR3 N**-**B3 P-B4 9.B-B2 PxP11.P-Q4 BPxP12.PxP 13.NxP $N_XN$ 14.0xN0-0 16.B-B4 R-N115.N-B3 R-Kl PxPR-N318.P-K5 17.QR-Q1 20.Q-K4 P-KN3 N-Q219.QxP 21.N-Q5

21.B-Q6 appears to win 2 pieces for a rook, but 21.N-Q5 is less risky and is decisive.

R-K3 22.QxR  $P_{\mathbf{X}}Q$ BxR23 B-B7 B-N524.BxQ26.NxBRxB25.N-K7ch! K-Bl 27.N-N6 and White won in 46 moves.

As the tournament included 24 masters, 38 experts, 60 Class A, but only 40 in Classes B, C and D combined, the lowerrated players were paired with opponents several hundred points higher throughout the entire 12 rounds. The average rating, for example, of Christopher's opponents was 1910, as compared with his USCF rating of 1456. His lowest-rated opponent was 300 points higher.

Surprisingly, for a tournament as long (two weeks) and as far away, 22 juniors (under 21) battled for their trophy. David Blohm, California expert, won the junior trophy with an 8-4 score. Mary Bain, N.Y. Class A, won the women's trophy with a 6½-5½ score. Her rivals included two whose hus he made when Rivera had little time on his bands were in the tournament, and a tall, dark, and beautiful University of Puerto Rico senior psychology major who scored 3 =-

Sources: N.Y. Times (Horowitz) L.A. Times (Kashdan) British Chess Magazine (\$6.70) a year air mail) Chess Life, Chess Review American Chess Quarterly, The Chess Correspondent (CCLA), and the latest addition to my list Shakhmaty-In-English a translation of a famous Russian chess magazine containing USSR and world news, annotated games, pictures. \$11.00 / yr from Shakhmaty-In-English, P.O. Box 91, Woodmont, Conn.

Bobby Fischer applied for a passport to visit Havana. Cuba to play in the Cpapblanca Memorial Tournament. The State Department refused to grant him one. Some newspaper editorials have condemned the Dept. for refusing to grant our No. 1 chess player a passport. The San Francisco Chronicle: "If he won it, (it) would do more for us than several hundred hours of Voice of America broadcasting." Fischer will play in the tournament, transmitting plays from N.Y.City to Havana by telephone, if he dosen't change his mind because of Fidel Castro's trying to make propaganda out of the situation. He is reported to have been displeased with some of Castro's remarks.

Larry Evans played in this tournament last year, without the State Dept. okay. I don't know what trouble this caused him.

Pal Benko won the Manhattan Chess Club Championship 11-2 in a 14 man field. Next were Arneld Denker, Neil McKelvie, Arthur Bisguier.

In the Western Open the July 4th weekend in St. Louis, William Lombardy and Robert Byrne tied for first place among 120 players.

David Blohm won the California State Jr., receiving a trophy, \$100 and a special fund of \$350 so he could play in the National Jr. Championship in Boston. (see also the adjoining column, ed.)

Pal Benko won the 176 man Eastern Open held July 4th weekend in Washington D.C. with  $7\frac{1}{2}$  $rac{1}{2}$ . Next in order were Asa Hoffman, Paul Brandts 7-1, Larry Gilden and Hans Berliner at 6층-1층.

Edward Formanck won the Masters Open Tournament in Minneapolis with 4-1. Arthur Bisguier, Donato Rivera and Paul Tantvisas tied  $3\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$  in the 14 man field. Bisguier lost a last round game to Rivera because of blunders clock and Bisquier had ample time. Bisquier tried to move too fast.

During half time of the L.A. Rams-Dallas 8½ with only six month's playing experience Cowboys pro-football game a couple weeks ago, a chess game pageant was held. Steve Allen and his wife Jayne Meadows provided the play-by-play narration.

In the United States Amateur Championship Frank Street, Edgar T. McCormick, and David Love tied for first among 242. The tie break placed them in the order listed.

Richard Moore won the 42 man Des Moines Open. Pal Benko won the Chess Forum Open in Conn.  $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ . Others in the 33 man field in order of finish: Michael Valvo, Miro Radojcic Edgar T. McCormick and George Krauss. Jack L. Gibson won the 45 man Phoenix Open.

Dr. Martin Harmon  $6\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$  won the 39 man Connecticut championship. Hans Berliner  $7\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$  won the District of Columbia championship. Peter Gould was next at 6-2.

Ostap Bender and E. Edmondson tied for first in the Nevada Open.

Kenneth Smith and David Less were top scoreers in the Texas Championship. William Wartz  $6\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$  won the Wisconsin State title.

Erik Leitis, former Oregon State Univ. player won 4-0 and claimed the championship of Central California Chess League for individual players.

Frank RePass and A.L. McAuley tied for 1st in New Orleans C.C. championship 28 man field.

USSR won the European team championship finals at Hamburg. USSR 66, Yugoslavia and Hungary 57, West Germany 45, Romania  $41\frac{1}{2}$ , and Holland  $33\frac{1}{2}$ . These were on game points. This is an every four year event and unlike the Olympiad is not watered down by weak players and teams. Each team has ten players and it is a double round robin.

The USSR team was made up of Petrosian, Botvinnik, Korchnoj, Smyslov, Bronstein, Stein Taimanov, Averbach, Krogius, and Boleslavsky. This team lost only one match, that to Hungary  $5\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$ . Botvinnik was out of form, scored a minus  $3\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$  losing three games, one each to Schmid, Gligoric and Szabo. Petrosian was 6-10 with 8 draws. Stein was best 7-10 ond Boleslavsky 5-6. Gligoric on the Yugoslav team scored 7-10 on 2nd board. Ivkov on 1st board was 5-10. Portisch on 1st board for Hungary scored 5-9.

Average age of team members is interesting. USSR 42, Hungary 38, Yugoslavia 33, Roumania and W. Germany 30, Helland 29, almost in order they finished the event.

M. Czerniak won an international tourney in Natoria, Israel 10-1. Kraidman, Matanovic and Gligoric  $7\frac{1}{2}-3\frac{1}{2}$ .

Holland and England tied for first in the bi-annual Fifth Junior International Team Tournament held in the Hague. Others in order of finish: W. Germany, Denmark, Norway, Switzerland, Belgium and Austria. 4 mer per team.

USSR won its 9th annual match with Yugo-slavia, as it has the 8 before.

The mikhail Tal, Bent Larsen semi-final match in the Candidate Match series ended in victory for Tal. The score was  $5\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$ , the closest match yet, and decided on the final game. After four games they were even with I each and two draws. None of the first four games indicated the brilliance and combinational depth of which the two players are capable. Larsen took first blood with a fine example of positional chass in the 1st game. Tal won the second game with some time trouble help from Larsen. Tal was a pawn before Larsen got in time trouble. The third game was drawn in 41 moves. The fourth game was a long 77 move draw. Larsen made a misplay in the rook and pawn ending giving Tal a chance to equalize and draw. I will have a report on the rest of the games next issue. Tal will now play a 12 game match in October with Boris Spassky, the winner of the other semifinal match, to determine the challanger to World Champion Tigran Petrosian. Geller and Larsen will probably play a short match to determine 3rd and 4th places.

### SEATTLE CHESS CLUB 5 MIN. SPEED TNY S.C.C.July 24th - Eugene Fomin Dir.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Harold Ferrer O20x111011111111111111111111111111111111	13-0 10½-2½ 10-3 8½-4½ 8-5 8-5 7-6 6-7 5-8 4-9 4-9 3-10 1-12
--------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### TOP TEN SEATTLE CHESS CLUB LADDER

2. 3. 4. 5.	Svend Monrad Eugene Fomin Fred Howard Garret Zweers Stephen Christopher Norman Abrahamson	620 606 552 544 544
		544
<b>3.</b>	Norman Abrahaman	
7.	Frank Alexandro	531
8.	John Garrott	523
9.	Wayne Sherlock	508 488
TO.	Dick Dorwin	483

Your editor went down to play in one of the Friday nite speed tournaments on the 20 th of August and found the place crammed!

# NWRATINGS by Fre.d howard

Abrahamson, Norman Alberston, Bruce	Wn. Wa.	1845 2025	Kern, Gregory	Ore.	
Aykroyd, Colin	B.C.	2079	Kirk, Bill	Ore.	1621
Bamme, Eric	вс.	2005	Kindret, M.	B.C.	
Blackmore, Wm.	Wn.	1715	Knapp, Chuck	Wn.	
Boettcher, James	wn.	1731	Knapp, Earl	₩n.	
Brown, Robert M.	Wn.	1576	Knittel, James	₩n.	1688
Bosse, Col. Edward	₩a.	1507	Leigh, Jeremy	BC.	
Brandal, Willy	wn.	1932	Lewis, Charles J.	B.C.	
Campbell, Jim	wn.	1922	Lindberg, John	₩n. ₩n.	
Ceis, Philip	₩n.	1559	Litke, Wendell Littlewood, C.J.	B.C.	
Chin, Howie	√n.	1303	·	wn.	
Christopher, Stephen	wn.	1637	Lundin, Robert	B.C.	
Clark, Bernard	wn.	1329	Macskasy, Elod McCharles, J.A.	В.С.	
Colpron, Milt	Wij '	1809	McLeod, Bruce	wn.	
Cowan, Jack	Wn.	-	McCormick, James	wa.	
Corpus, Dennis	Wn.		Melker, John	√n.	
Cummings, Roy	B.C.	1654	Miller, Ole	wn.	
Dean, Randy	Wn.		Monrad, Svend	Wn.	1665
Dorwin, Richard	∜n.		Moore, Deane B.	Ore.	1844
Dunn, Rodney	Wn.		Morris, Bruce	√n.	1361
Eddy, Bud	wn.		Murray, Richard	wn.	1467
Eldridge, Robert D.	B.C.	1550	Mushey, Alex	B.C.	1606
Farkas, Mike	Wn.	1722	Nelson, Robert	Wn.	1510
Fesl, Lou	Wn.	1813	Notman, James	B.C.	1551
Fomin, Eugene	Wn.	1866	Olson, Peter J.	W.J.	1538
Forbes, Norman	B.C.	1624	Owens, Lauren	. AA : .	1396
Fulton, David	₩n.	1766	Pattie, Jack	$\forall n$ .	1666
Hashisaki, Peter	Wn.	1620	Pericich, John	√n.	1712
Garen, Robert T.	√n.	1507	Poteete, Ray	wn.	1736
Garrott, John	Wn.	1747	Potter, B.A.	в.С.	1906
Haugen, Dave	Wn.	1760	l	Wa.	1705
Hickey, Pat	Wn.	1887	Puffert, Harold	ψn.	1497
Hill, Allan G	B.C.	1925	Pullen, Kent	v√n.	2.060
Holzinger, Robert	Wn.	1951	Fupols, Viktors	wn.	2186
Jarmon, Ron	Wn.	1741	Rasmussen, Otto	В.С.	1838
Jarvinen, Dick	Ore.	1762	Raymond, Harold	₩n.	1720
Jenquin, Denny	Ore.	1914	Richardson, Lewis	WII.	1650
Johnson, Martin	в.С.	1643	Roskam, Dr.Jan.	√n.	1735
Johnston, Lyman T.	₩n.	1758	Schave, Dennis	$\sqrt{N}$	1558
Jones, J.J.	$\mathbb{W}\mathbf{n}$ .	1600	Schemm, Mike	Ore.	1655
Kaltenecker, Joseph	B.C.	1885	Schmidt, Fred L	√n.	1801
Karell, Lief	Ore.	1827	Schoene, Andy	Wil.	2219
Kaye, R.D.G.	в.с.	1551	Seedhouse, Edward	в.с.	1685
Kelleher, Clay	Ore.	1978	Sherlock, Brian	√n.	1329
Kenney, Matt	wn.	1885	Shin, Josh	В.С.	1683

	RAI	INGS	CONTINUED		
Shoemaker, John Smith, Ronald G. Sonier, Eddie F. Stough, Norman Strand, Stephen Suttles, Duncan Syrnick, Z.B. Toth, Joseph Van Lieshaut, Adrian Vitanyi, Leslie Walker, John	Wn. Wn. Wn. Wn. B.C. B.C. Wn. B.C.	1543 1776 1700 1617 1530 2254 1646 1847 1403 1896 1633	Ward, John R, Weber, Bill West, Laurence Wiebe, Ray Williams, Brian Williams, Dave Wilson, Earl Wolfe, Jerry Zarins, Arnids Zuk, R.	Wn. Wn. B.C. B.C. Wn. Wn. Ore. B.C. B.C.	1599 1752 1717 1638 1631 1708 1805 2010 1765 2005

Events Covered

B.C. Open A Section B.C. Open B Section Seattle Open Evergreen Empire Open U.S.C.F. Sec. Evergreen Empire Open Reserve Sec. Eugene Fomin vs Stephen Christopher

Still waiting for the results of the Interstate Open and Mont. State Open.

#### SEATTLE CHESS CLUB 5 MIN SPEED TNY Fri August 6, 1965

1	John Braley	x	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	13-1
2	Eugene Fomin	1	$\mathbf{x}$	1	2	2	l	2	2	11-3
3	Pat Hickey	0	l	$\mathbf{x}$	2	2	2	2	2	11-3
1	Norman Abrahamso	n0	0	0	$\mathbf{x}$	1	녆	2	2	$6\frac{1}{2}$ - $7\frac{1}{2}$
5	Earl Wilson	0	0	0	1	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	2	6-8
6	Svend Monrad	0	1	0	늘	0	$\mathbf{x}$	2	2	$5\frac{1}{2} - 8\frac{1}{2}$
7	Ray Poteete	0	0	0	0	1	0	x	1	2-12
.8	Philip Ceis	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$\mathbf{x}$	1-13

John Braley defested Pat Hickey 3-0 in a match for N.W. rating points.

Blackmore defeats Hashisaki 4-2 for N.W. rating points. Also USCF points. 1  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  0 1 1

Stephen Christopher won Class "C" Prize in the U.S. Open in Puerto Rico and with the Trophy \$35. He is director of the Seattle Chess Club. Why not go down and play in one of the events at his club?? The club is behind the park by the P.I. building. Events every Fri night.

#### PUGET SOUND LEAGUE PLAY

The time has come for the various clubs to send in their names for the annual P.S. League Team play. Send all of the entries to the editor and a schedule will be made up. We hope to have McNeil Island as a team member this year. The UofW Team looks the strongest with C. Harmon, K. Pullen, P. O'Gorman, Campbell, etc. The G. Horde hope to field Braley, Parsons for a starter.

1965-66 N.W. Intercollegiate C. League

First Round October 23, 1965 Concordia at George Fox Portland State at Western Washington U. of Washington at Seattle Pacific

Second Round, November 13, 1965
George Fox at U. of Washington
Concordia at Portland State
Western Washington at Seattle Pacific

Third R und, January 15, 1966
Portland State at George Fex
Seattle Pacific at Concordia
Western Washington at U. of Washington

Fourth Round, February 19, 1966 George Fox at Seattle Pacific U. of Washington at Portland State Concordia at Western Washington

Fifth Round, April 9, 1966
Western Washington at George Fox
Scattle Pacific at Portland State
U. of Washington at Concordia

Fatches start at 1:00 P.M.
Four board teams with two games per board
Time control: 40 moves per hour
Traveling team gets White on boards 1 and 3

Send the match results to the editor for publication. Games, preferably annotated, will be accepted for publication also.

We are glad to see a man like Dick Hayek undertake to organize this event. Good luck!

#### ASHIAND DAN WADE

IVARS DALBERGS of Portland scored 5-0 at Ashland August 21st and 22nd to win the first place trophy and 140 dollar cash prize. As Shakespheare might have phrased it. finished a full point ahead of the "rude multitude" and made the current USCF ratings look good since he was the highest rated player in the tourney with well over 2200.

71 players came from far and near for this biggest tournament in Oregon's history and if most of them did not leave filthy with lucre, most should have been filthy with culture after taking in the colorful Shakespheare plays ended a half point out of the money. Byron each evening. At that there were eleven troph-scored 3-0 Saturday but should have stayed ies and five gift prizes and at least ten dif- in bed Sunday. His loss to Dalbergs was ferent players received cash prizes so the good described as "hairy" and then his sacrifice life with something for nearly everyone seemed against Wade barely missed being sound. to be the order of the day.

Although Ashland is far from all heavy concentrations of strong chess players, strong players were present. DON SUTHERLAND (2181) of SanFrancisco, ARTHUR WANG of Oakland (2132) KENT MCGILLICUDY of Berkeley (2024) were all on hand to give Dalbergs a run for top honors. Also present were DON TURNER of the Dalles, CLAY FREEBERGER won the next biggest upset with KELLEHER and FRED BYRON of Portland and West Linn respectively, and DAN WADE of Seattle.

Dalbergs scored his 5-0 with wins over Larry Scott, Bob Mitchell, Wade, Byron and Wang. Wang took second place trophy by winning a row defeating Martin Zwitzer, Leif Karell, Milt Colpron and Bill Kirk before losing in the title game to Dalbergs.

Wade took the third place trophy losing only his third round encounter with Dalbergs and winning his final two games against Seif Poulson and Fred Byron. Southerland just mis sed out on a trophy on tie-breaking points. His Vade, who was the highest finishing h playonly loss was to Byron and he defeated Don Turner in the last round.

Clay Kelleher was the victim of the big - VINCE BRICHER, won the Class B trophy. He gest upset of the tournament in the first round. was playing in his first Championship sec-BILL KIRK of Portland defeated Clay in that one tion event and scored 3 - 12. His Kelleher came back to win four straight to be- all right too scoring 3-2. DR. FRANK FREEcome the fourth player to score 4-1. money was 70 dollars and third money was 35 Wang, Wade, Southerland and Kelleher \$26.25 apiece.

Hard luck players were Turner and Byron. Turner drew his opener with Brian Krauss and then won three in a row. Going into the final round he was only a half point behind Dalbergs and Wang and a half point or more ahead of anyone else. Then Southerland defeated him and he

Two transistor radios, a chess book, cologne and a stereo record were given as upset awards. The winner of the biggest upset had first pick, etc. Bill Kirk won the biggest upset since Kelleher's rating was 339 points above Kirk's. DR. FRANK his win over STANLEY PARRISH, a 327 point gap: Other upset prizes were won by MILT COLPRON for his win over DON SOUTHERLAND. and GARY BRICHER for his win over EINO MOKS.

Eleven trophies were donated by land stores and motels. There were for the top three in the 36 player USCF rated Championship division and three more for the top three in the 35 player Reserve tournament. There were five other trophies going to the tap class A, B, C, Women top junior.

CLAY KELLEHER won the Class A because er, had won the third place trophy and the rules called for only one trophy to a player. GARY BRICHER of Cottage Grave, son of Second BERGER won the Class C trophy. DENNIS WATERso MAN won the Junior trophy and MRS. BILLIE received CHAPIN of Grants Pass won the Women's trophy. The rules simply stated that the trophy would go to the highest scoring woman. Mrs. Chapin played in the Reserve Tournament while MRS. KITTY BEARDEN played in the Championship Tournament against some stronger players. Kitty scored 12-32 while Mrs. Chapin scored 2-3 to take the trophy.

(continued)

The Reserve Torrnament was won by LEVIS RICHARDSON of Portland, formerly of Camas, who scored  $4\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ . The only blemish on his record was a fourth round draw with LEON PITTMAN. He won a trophy and the first place cash prize of 40 dollars. JERRY WEIKEL of Harrisburg scored 4-1, losing to Richardson in the last round. Weikel won the second place trophy and one fourth of the combined "100 second and \$10 third place prizes or \$7.50.

EDDY SONIER of Seattle took the third place trophy and \$7.50. Sonier's only loss was to Weikel in the third round. BUZ EDDY of Seattle took fourth while BOB NELSON of Olalaa Washington placed fifth. Both scored 4-1 and received \$7.50 cash. Buz lost to Richardson in the third round. Nelson lost in the opening round to LAVERNE THOMAS  $(3\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2})$  and then came charging back to wim four straight.

The rest of this account will be a hodge-podge of impressions and facts. DEANE MOORE did a great job of directing the Championship section while CLAY KELLEHER did as well in handling the Reserve Tournament. The tourney was played in Ashland's beautiful Lithia Park in a heavily wooded area alongside a babbling brook. Both tourney directors hid in the bushes to make important decisions so they would not be bothered by questions while figuring out pairings etc. DON TURNER assisted the directors.

Three little girls of the Ashland Chess Club stayed on duty all through both days of the tourney selling sandwiches, coffee, pies doughnuts, etc. with all profits going to help swell the prize fund. As ART EVERETT said, "the coffee is free, but you must first buy a cup and the cup costs a dime. Hang onto your cup and you can get a refill for a nickel."

A tournament like this one cannot be staged on a few days notice. Art Everett went to all the stores and motels in Ashland getting them to donate the trophies. TRACY and KITTY BEARDEN, a dynamic young couple, had to handle all the details and headaches for the tourney and the Shakespeare plays.

People have worked as hard before in other communities and been rewarded by getting only a few players. The Beardens were gratified by getting a real turnout of 71 players or about 20 more than the 50 they had hoped for.

The event being held outdoors had no room rent to pay which was another reason for the big prizes. The weather which had been very hot for weeks prior to the event was cooler on the weekend of the tourney but no north winds blew and no rain fell so the weather was not bad if coats were worn.

Art Wang showed up as a family man with a wife and a small daughter. His wife felt that his new life kept him from playing chess as much as formerly and that he was out of practice.

In the Reserve Tournament, one player from Kansas did not appear to understand the reason for the clocks. He was giving BUZ EDDY a good hiding when his flag fell giving Eddy the win on time forfeit. This was too much for the Kansan who left amid much slamming of chess board and pieces, trailed by a wide eyed and consternated young wife and children.

If IVARS DALDERGS supplied the event with brains, then KITTY BEARDEN supplied it with glamour. She was unhappy that more women, especially a certain Salem witch, did not show up to fight for the women's trophy! As Shakespeare might have written, "Esther, where art thou?"

The writer can find only one thing wrong with chess in Ashland. Ashland is too far from Seattle or Seattle is too far from Ashland. If he goes again he wants to be wafted down not drive and drive and drive for miles and miles and miles.

DAN WADE
THE TRAVELING CRITICAL
OBSERVER!

It seems that since Dan has traded his pen in for a chess set he has been doing rather well. Just one more threat to the McCormick regime!

See the games section for the Dalbergs vs Mang struggle that proved to be the decisive game of the tournament. Notes by Dalbergs.

#### DELAYED UNTIL NEXT MONTH:

Problem section ommitted until next month, also the games and cross tables of the Oregon State Championship with story by GREGORY KERN a letter from KEN DART, one from E. SOBCZYK, and two pages of analysis on how to rough up the Caro Kann & Semi-Tarrasch.

# DALBERGS AGAIN?

```
1. IVARS DALBERGS x 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 6-1 lst

2. LEIF KARELL 0 x 1 1 1 1 1 ½ 5½-1½ 2

3. DON TURNER 1 0 x 1 0 1 1 1 5-2 3

4. GREG KERN 0 0 0 0 x 1 1 1 1 1 4-3 4

5. CLAY KELLEHER 0 0 1 0 x 0 1 1 3-4 5

6. DENNY JEN UIN 0 0 0 0 1 x ½ 1 2½-4½ 6

7. DEANE MOORE 0 0 0 0 0 1 x ½ 7 1½-5½ 7

8. VINCE BRICHER 0 ½ 0 0 0 0 0 x ½-6½ 8
```

Ivars Dalbergs won the 1965 Oregon Championship held on the weekends of July 31-Aug 7&8 at Reed College in Portland. A surprising Leif Karell, a Portland H.S. boy, finished 2nd, ½ point behind Dalbergs. Defending Champ Don Turner, of the Dalles, turned in a powerful drive in the late rounds to overcome a disasterous start.

Round 1 Karell 1 Moore 0, Dalbergs 1 Kern 0 Turner 0 Kelleher 1, Jenquin 1 Bricher 0 The Dalbergs-Kern game was a difficult, seesaw affair in which both sides overlooked wins. Turner missed a winning continuation against Kelleher and lost.

Round 2 Kern 1 Jenquin 0, Karrell 1 Turner 0 Kelleher 0 Dalbergs 1, Moore 1 Bricher 0 Kelleher, normally a rather conservative player, was taking risks in his opening play and suffered rotten luck at times. Karrell won handily against Turner, which was an unexpected result.

Round 3 Bricher O Kern 1, Turner 1 Moore O Dalbergs 1 Karell 0, Jenquin 1 Kelleher O Dalbergs was having no easy task - the game with Karell was very close; Leif felt he missed some very good drawing chances.

Round 4 Kern 1 Kelleher 0, Bricher ½ Karell ½ Jenquin O Turner 1, Dalbergs 1 Moore O Moore put up a very stubborn fight against Dalbergs. Finally, in the endgame, Ivars won a pawn and the game. Karell narrowly missed losing against Vince Bricher; it turned out this game would keep him out of a tie for first. But then, no one thought he was going to beat 3 tough customers in a row!

Round 5 Moore O Kern 1, Kelleher 1 Bricher O

Turner 1 Dalbergs 0, Karell 1 Jenquin 0 Don Turner pulled the upset of the year by beating Dalbergs on the white side of a French. He was able to win the exchange fairly early and from there on it was a long, losing battle. This was the first game Dalbergs lost to an oregon player in many moons. (At this point Kern was in a tie with Dalbergs for first place, Ed.)

Round 6 Karell 1 Kern O, Turner 1 Bricher O Moore O Kelleher O, Dalbergs 1 Jenquin O Karell upset Kern, eliminating Kern from first place contention. Turner continued his drive, moving up to tie for 3rd.

Round 7 Jenquin ½ Moore ½, Kern O Turner 1 Dricher O Dalbergs 1, Kelleher O Karell 1 Dlabergs clinched first; Karell won handily to capture second; one of his best tournament achievements. Turner beat Kern to take 3rd.

This tournament shows that competition in Oregon is getting tougher all the time and that future events will be very close and hard-fought.

Leif Karell-Greg Kern Rd 6 1.P-K4 P-K4 2.N-KD3 N-(D3 3.B-B4 B-B4 4. 0-0 N-B3 5.P-Q4 PxP 6.P-K5 P-Q4 7.PxN PxB 8.R-Klch B-K3 9.N-N5 Q-Q4 10.N-QB3 Q-B4 11.QN-K4 0-0-0 12.P-KN4 (.-K4 13.PxP KR-N1 14. NxQB PxN 15.B-R6 B-N5 16.R-K2 P-Q6 17. R-K3 N-C5 18.PxP PxP 19.N-N3 Q-Q4 20.CxP N-B6ch 21.RxN QxQ 22.RxQ RxR 23.N-K4 B-K2 24.K-N2 R-Q5 25.K-B3 K-Q2 26.R-QB1 P-B3 27.K-K3 R-Q4 28.P-B4 K-K1 29.P-N5 K-B2 30. P-KR4 R/N-Q1 31.K-B3 R-Q6ch 32.K-N4 R-K6 33.N-B6 BxN 34.PxB R/Q-Q6 35.D-N5 R-K7 (Black is in danger, but he simply did not see it) 36.R-QN1 R-Q2 37.K-R5 P-N3? 38.K-R6 K-Nl 39 R-Ql! R-Q4 desperation 40 P-B7ch KxP 41.KxP RxB 42.BPxR (Of course not RPxR) Black rasigns. Moral: H.S. kids are to be respected!

Kern - Turner Round 7

1.P-K4 P-K4 2.N-KB3 N-QB3 3.B-N5 P-QR3 4.

B-R4 N-B3.5.0-0 NxP 6.P-Q4 P-QN4 7.B-N3

P-Q4 8.PxP B-K3 9.Q-K2 B-K2 10.R-Q1 N-B4

11.P-B4 P-Q5 12.PxP P-Q6 13.Q-K1 NxB 14.

RPxN N-N5 15.Q-B3 0-0 16.PxP P-QB4 17.B-K3

Q-Q4 18.QN-Q2 RxP 19.N-B4 RxR 20.RxR R-R1

21.R-R3 R-Q1 22.N/B3-Q2 N-B3 23.P-B4 N-N5

24.R-R7 B-KB1 25.N-Q6? BxN 26.PxB N-B7 27.

BxP? N-K8 28.N-B3 P-Q7 29.R-R1 NxNch Resigns

OREGON CHESS COMMITTEE

Chairman-Gregory Kern 12903 N.E. Multnomah Portland 97230

Tourney Director-Deane Moore 5420 N.Montana Portland

Publicity - Tracy Beardon 450 Lit Way Ashland Ore 97520

Sec. - Don Turner The Dalles Tres. - Fred Byron 12439 10th Ave.

Milwaukie, Ore.
PURPOSE: To promote chess events in Oregon and aid all organizers in Oregon. All or - ganizers in this area contact G. Kern now!
Ivars Dalbergs has offered to match McCormick giving exhibitions for the NW Invit.Tny.

### PLAY CHESS BY MAIL

### R. R. MERK -- DIRECTOR 1 026 N.E. 71 SEATTLE, WASH. 98115

August 24 was a big day for Bob Merk. He sat up in a chair for an hour, for the first time since May, and expected to try for an hour and a half the next day. This is great news for his many friends who have been loyally phoning, writing; and visiting him during his ordeal.

Section winners during August have been determined as follows:

64C7 Reh 64B3 LaVergne 63A6 Stratton 64A7 H. Raymond

The withdrawal of Kerton from 65C5 gives 20 points each to Keating, Cyr and Grant. Ordinarily we would replace a player who has not completed six moves, but in our present stand-by situation with a substitute director we think it is better not to delay the other players in the section seeking a replacement.

#### AUGUST GALE REPORTS

63A10B 65B1A 64A16B	John Bell wins Reddig J. Schrader	51 points from 62	H. Raymond Carroll Lyberger
64C4B	Lamasney	20	Blosser
64C5B	Dave Williams	30 (added)	Rupel
64C5A	Williams	60	Shives
64C5B	Williams	48	Shives
65B5A	R. Espey	59	Hughes
64C7A	Reh	20	Hainsworth
65B4B	Becker	48	R. Raymond
65B3A	Potter	39	H. Glidden
65B3B	Potter	31	H. Glidden
65A4A	Prentice	32	Almond
65B5A	R. Espey	35	R. Davis
64B3A	LaVergne	45	McFarling
64B3B	LaVergne	35	McFarling
64B3A	LaVergne	28	Gorton
64B3B	LaVergno	22	Gorton
65C2A	Herbers (draws)	2	Running
64C6A & B		20	Bushaw
64A7A	H. Raymond	45	J. Holmes
65B3B	Jensen	X	Potter
65B3A	Potter	X	Jonsen

To continue an explanation of the system of rating based on 50 points for a win, plus or minus ten percent of the difference between the players ratings, in the case of a draw the lower rated player gains only the ten percent of the difference. Several instances can be noted above where two wins are reported against the same player. The second win gains less points than the first due to the intervening change in the ratings. In the case of the final two reports listed, where both Jensen and Potter reported a win and a loss, we had no way of knowing which came first, and as the players had fairly equal ratings we gave no points in recording the results.

#### POSTAL CHESS

#### RATINGS

"AA" Division		"A" Divis	ion	"B" Division			
Above 1700		Stratton	1696	Grant	1320		
Morris	2253	Skiles	1667	Dart	1309		
Pupols	2100	Erickson	1650	Merk	1305		
Seiter	2035	Sage	1645	Gidon	1300		
Parsons	1943	Almond	1610	W. Olson	1300		
Rudzitis	1918	E. Schrader	1600	Stickle	1300		
Wick	1916	Colpron	1600	D. Olson	1290		
Kern	1888	Johnson	1600	Dickson	1283		
Cummins	1883	Powell	1600	Laugen-	1279		
Eilmes	1876	Stough	1600	Honry	1264		
Abrahamson	1859	Holmes	1592	Pattie	1254		
Prentice	1856	Scripps	1585	R.W. Miller	1248		
Schmidt	1846	Collins	1575	R. Raymond	1230		
Wilson	1813	Brandt	1569	McMarling	1221		
Кпарр	1811	R.G. Spith	1559	Donnell	1218		
Harmon	1810	Vanatta	1556	J. Donnelly	1212		
Bell	1787	Heathman	1554	Davis	1210		
Nourse	1766	Person	1554	Hurley	1208		
liage	1745	Christey	1552	Norris	1198		
Weaver	1745	Peterson	1544	Reid	1194		
Skarsten	1741	Fulton	1530	Villiams	1190		
H. Raymond	1736	Dean	1519	n. Hiller	1181		
<b>V</b>		J. Schrader	1508	Bauman	1162		
		Magel	1500	Webb	1158		
		Blackmore	1496	Keating	1156		
		LaVergne	1476	Gorton	1152		
		Reh	1464	Dearing	1152		
		Kirk	1451	Baldwin	1134		
		Jones	1447				
		Huntington	1436	Herbers	1130		
		Howard	1424	Running	1130		
NORTHW RETURN				H. Glidden	1120		
		"B" Divis		Hainsworth	1119		
		1100 to 1		Fay 1108			
		Lyberger	1397	"C" Divis			
•	7 55	Espey	1394	Drake	1090		
	1 - 1	Turner	1382	R. Taylor	1079		
Stephen	THI	Woodward	1381	Mard	1067		
F 26		Potter	1370	Youngman	1050		
<b>B</b> a	SEE	P. Lamasney	1362	Shives	1046		
4 UA		Gifford	1359	Dorwin	1037		
	ANACH C.	Rader	1355	Denison	1020		
SZ	£	Reddig	1351	Blosser	1020		
3 EE	1 1 2 2 4 5	Becker	1350	Buck	1000		
b		lionrad	1347	C. Gingrich	1000		
Ö		Carroll	1346	licCord	1000		
Christopher	}	Grassham	1346	J. Jones	1000		
2		Cassino	1344	Enittel	1000		
7.00	ſ	117 13 7	コマルつ	(: (7) = 3 = 2 = 20	1000		

Weikel

Widner

Carlson

Baskett

Hughes

Walker

Uselton Betzer

J. Jensen

1342

1340

1338

1336

1335

1331

1326

1325

1321

C. Glidden

Holmquist

J. .lson

C. Lamasney

Klein

Moore

Busham

Cyr

Puz

1000

1000

990

982

974

964

956

949

934